## SENT OUT AS PART OF THE 6/9/11 WEEKLY UPDATE



Tony Evers, PhD, State Superintendent

May 20, 2011

Daniel A Nerad, District Administrator Madison Metropolitan School District 545 W Dayton St Madison WI 53703-1967

objective that triggered improvement status.

Dear District Administrator:

The federal Elementary/Secondary Education Act, No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that districts and schools make adequate yearly progress (AYP) toward state-established benchmarks in four areas: test participation, reading proficiency, math proficiency, and the other academic indicator: attendance or high school graduation.

This letter is to inform you that your district, or one or more of your schools, has either missed AYP; is identified for improvement; is no longer identified for improvement status; or missed AYP in the prior school year but remains in satisfactory status by meeting AYP for the current school year: 2010-11.

The enclosed Preliminary Annual Review of Performance report(s) are color coded according to the following:

- YELLOW: Schools and/or districts that have not met AYP in one or more areas Yellow reports are for schools or districts with satisfactory status that have not met one or more AYP criteria in 2010-11. The school or district must miss the same objective for two years in a row to be identified for improvement.
- PINK: Schools and/or districts identified for improvement
  Pink reports are for schools or districts that are identified for improvement because they have not met
  AYP in the same objective for two or more consecutive years. The pink reports also include schools
  or districts identified for improvement in the prior school year but met AYP in 2010-11. They
  continue to be identified for improvement until they meet AYP for two consecutive years in the

Schools or districts receiving this improvement designation and receiving Title I funds are subject to sanctions under NCLB. For a complete list of Title I sanctions see: <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/esea/doc/sanctions-schools.doc">http://dpi.wi.gov/esea/doc/sanctions-districts.doc</a>. <a href="http://dpi.wi.gov/esea/doc/sanctions-districts.doc">http://dpi.wi.gov/esea/doc/sanctions-districts.doc</a>.

- GREEN: Schools and/or districts removed from improvement status Green reports indicate schools or districts previously identified for improvement that have met AYP for two consecutive years in the objective that triggered improvement status. These schools and/or districts now have a satisfactory status and if a Title I school, are no longer subject to NCLB sanctions.
- BLUE: Schools and/or districts that missed AYP in the prior year and have now met AYP Blue reports indicate schools or districts that missed AYP in the prior school year, but have now met those objectives in 2010-11. These schools and/or districts continue to have a satisfactory status.

Daniel A Nerad May 20, 2011 Page 2

All other entities—those not receiving a report at this time—have met AYP requirements for 2010-11. The AYP reports for these schools and districts are <u>not</u> included with this mailing. Your complete set of AYP reports will be available as a private download at the Online Reporting System (<a href="https://wsasors.turnleaf.com">https://wsasors.turnleaf.com</a>) by June 30. The District Assessment Coordinator (DAC) should download the reports for your district for distribution at that time.

The enclosed reports provide a preliminary AYP improvement designation. You may request reconsideration if you have evidence of data errors that would result in changes to the AYP or improvement status. To assist you with this decision, we have included information about the documentation required to verify data errors. Any request for reconsideration, along with complete documentation, must be received at DPI by 4 p.m. on June 24, 2011. Schools and/or districts requesting reconsideration will be notified of their final improvement status on or before July 31.

If you have questions or would like assistance interpreting the review form, please contact one of the following staff members:

Susan Ketchum, Accountability Consultant Office of Educational Accountability 608-267-0425 susan.ketchum@dpi.wi.gov

Phil Olsen, Assistant Director Office of Educational Accountability 608-266-8779 philip.olsen@dpi.wi.gov Phil Cranley, Assessment Consultant Office of Educational Accountability 608-266-9798 philip.cranley@dpi.wi.gov

Lynette Russell, Director Office of Educational Accountability 608-267-1072 lynette.russell@dpi.wi.gov

Office of Educational Accountability Fax 608-266-8770

This preliminary AYP information is embargoed until June 7, 2011, when it will be released to the media and posted on the DPI website. Do not release AYP information until that date.

Thank you for your work on behalf of Wisconsin schools.

Sincerely,

Michael J. Thompson, PhD Deputy State Superintendent

me Theyan

MT:phb Enclosures

cc: Pr

Principal

District Assessment Coordinator



# State of Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction

## Preliminary Annual Review of District Performance: 2010-11

District: Madison Metropolitan

3269

Tested Grades:

3,4,5,6,7,8,10

District Enrollment: 24,482

## Three Year Adequate Yearly Progress - DISTRICT REVIEW SUMMARY

· .		2008-09		2009-10	2010-11			
	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status		
Test Participation	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Satisfactory.	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Reading	Yes Satisfactory Yes Satisfactory		No	Satisfactory	No	Level 1		
Mathematics			Yes Satisfactory		No	Satisfactory		
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?		Yes		No		No		
District Status:	S	Satisfactory	s	atisfactory		Level 1		
	WW7					Title I		

Adequate Yearly Progress - District	Elementary Level	Middle Level	High School	District Summary AYP
Test Participation	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Reading	No	No	No	No
Mathematics	No ·	No	No ·	No

Preliminary ANNUAL REVIEW OF DISTRICT PERFORMANCE: 2010-11 Part A:

TEST PARTICIPATION	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year		OTHER ACADEMIC INDICATOR
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP	Local
All Students	5,694	100%	11,290	100%	Yes	Objective Current Growth AYP
American Indian/Alaska Native	37	············	†			Atteridance 85% 98% Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	647	100%	1,258	100%	Yes	Met Other Indicator Objective? Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	1,396	99%	2,743	99%	Yes	I Key
Hispanic	1,075	100%	1,976	100%	Yes	CI: Confidence Interval
White, not of Hispanic Origin	2,539	100%	5,225	100%	Yes	Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades.
English Language Learners	1,260	100%	2,427	100%	Yes	FAY-T: Number of Full Academic Year students test
Students with Disabilities	803	100%	1,631	99%	Yes	Index: Proficiency Index
Economically Disadvantaged	2,920	100%	5,704	100%	Yes	N/A: Insufficient data for reliable determination.
Met Test Participation C	bjective?	***************************************	***************************************	V	Yes	SH: Safe Harbor

•	Locaf		
Objective	Current	Growth	AYP
Attendance 85%	98%		Yes

~~ E ~ Th ! ~					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
READING	Curren	Year	Two-	Year	Not Profic	ient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
ul Students	5,165	84%	10,239	83%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	32								
Asian/Pacific Islander	580	88%	1,132	86%					Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	.1,176	70%	2,337	70%	31%	3%	85%	96%	No
Hispanic	972	75%	1,779	73%	31%	21%	85%	98%	Yes-SH
White, not of Hispanic Origin	2,405	94%	4,914	93%					Yes
English Language Learners	1,114	74%	2,146	71%	29%	16%	85%	98%	Yes-SH
Students with Disabilities	755	60%	1,527	59%	39%	7%	85%	97%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	2,530	74%	4,951	72%	30%	11%	85%	97%	Yes-SH

NY 27 M. HIPPING of command and St. The Training artists afring.					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
MATHEMATICS	Curren	Current Year Two-Year		Not Proficient/Index		WI State	Local	43.00	
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	5,165	78%	10,239	78%			-		Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	32	····							
Asian/Pacific Islander	580	88%	1,132	86%					Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	1,176	55%	2,337	55%	44%	-1%	85%	96%	No
Hispanic	972	68%	1,779	66%					Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	2,405	91%	4,914	90%					Yes
English Language Learners	1,114	68%	2,146	66%					Yes
Students with Disabilities	755	53%	1,528	53%	44%	. 0%	85%	97%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	2,530	62%	4,952	62%	38%	1%	85%	97%	No
Met Mathematics Objecti	ve?					,		······································	No

Part A: ELEMENTARY (grades:kG-05)	9000 00		
	AYP	AYP	AYP
Test Participation	Yes	Yes	Yes
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Yes	Yes
Reading	No	No .	No
Mathematics	Yes	Yes	No
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?	No	No	No

#### Preliminary ANNUAL REVIEW OF DISTRICT PERFORMANCE: 2010-11 Part B:

District 3269 Madison Metropolitan

Tested Grade

6.7.8

TEST PARTICIPATION	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP
All Students	5,083	100%	10,105	100%	Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	49	98%	83	99%	Yes
Asian/Pacific Islander	536	100%	1,070	100%	Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	1,374	99%	2,681	99%	Yes
Hispanic	805	100%	1,487	100%	Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	2,319	100%	4,784	100%	Yes
English Language Learners	822	100%	1,598	100%	Yes
Students with Disabilities	912	99%	1,831	99%	Yes
Economically Disadvantaged	2,560	100%	4,967	100%	Yes
Met Test Participation C	biective?	·			Yes

OTHER	ACADE	MIC INDI	CATOR	,
		Local		
Obje	ective	Current	Growth	AYP
Attenda	nce 85%	97%		Yes

Key

CI: Confidence Interval

Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades. Number of Full Academic Year students tested. FAY-T:

Proficiency Index Index:

N/A: Insufficient data for reliable determination.

					Safe Harbor Step 1		Safe Harbor Step 2		
READING	Curren	Current Year		Two-Year		Not Proficient/Index		Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	4,622	87%	9,220	87%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	39			······································					
Asian/Pacific Islander	504	89%	995	88%					Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	1,149	74%	2,254	72%	28%	6%	85%	96%	· No
Hispanic	732	79%	1,366	79%					Yes-Cl
White, not of Hispanic Origin	2,198	96%	4,532	96%					Yes
English Language Learners	733	74%	1,436	73%					Yes-Cl
Students with Disabilities	845	57%	1,685	58%	37%	-3%	85%	95%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	2,217	76%	4.322	76%	25%	7%	85%	96%	No

At the surveying a province of the happing print, and					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
MATHEMATICS	Curren	t Year	Two-Year		Not Proficient/Index		WI State	Local	A 3.475
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	4,621	79%	9,218	80%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	39								
Aslan/Pacific Islander	504	85%	995	87%					Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	1,148	55%	2,254	58%	41%	-7%	85%	96%	No
Hispanic	732	70%	1,366	71%					Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	2,198	94%	4,530	93%					Yes
English Language Learners	733	64%	1,436	66%					Yes-Cl
Students with Disabilities	844	44%	1,683	48%	45%	-9%	85%	95%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	2,216	63%	4,320	65%	33%	-9%	85%	96%	No
Met Mathematics Objecti									No

		RESS - DISTRICT REVIEW		
Part B: MIDDLE (grades 06-08)	2008-09	2009:10	2010-11	
	AYP	AYP	AYP	
Test Participation	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Reading	No	No	No	
Mathematics	No	Yes	No	
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?	No	No	No	

Preliminary ANNUAL REVIEW OF DISTRICT PERFORMANCE: 2010-11 Part C:

District 3269 Madison Metropolitan

Tested Grade

10

TEST PARTICIPATION	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year		OTHER ACADEMIC INDICATOR
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP	Local
All Students	1,852	98%	3,626	98%	Yes	Objective Current Growth AYP
American Indian/Alaska Native	20	***************************************				Graduation 85% 82% No No
Asian/Pacific Islander .	202	99%	374	99%	Yes	Met Other Indicator Objective? No
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	405	96%	868	96%	Yes	Kev
Hispanic	277	98%	491	98%	Yes	CI: Confidence Interval
White, not of Hispanic Origin	948	98%	1,854	99%	Yes	Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades.
English Language Learners	244	99%	474	99%	Yes	FAY-T: Number of Full Academic Year students test
Students with Disabilities	306	96%	622	96%	Yes	Index: Proficiency Index
Economically Disadvantaged	783	97%	1,587	97%	Yes	N/A: Insufficient data for reliable determination.
Met Test Participation C	bjective?				Yes	SH: Safe Harbor

OTHER ACADE	Local		
Objective	Current	Growth	AYP
Graduation 85%	82%	No	No

. had an 40 had 20 to 20					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
READING	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	. Not Profic	cient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Cuirrent	AYP
All Students	1,661	82%	3,248	82%			***************************************		Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	16		,						
Asian/Pacific Islander	180	83%	340	79%	1		***************************************		Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	335	62%	708	63%	36%	-2%	5%	42%	No
Hispanic	238	71%	423	68%	35%	16%	1%	50%	Yes-SH
White, not of Hispanic Origin	892	93%	1,746	93%					Yes
English Language Learners	207	55%	409	52%	39%	12%	2%	31%	Yes-SH
Students with Disabilities	280	51%	555	51%	48%	3%	10%	38%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	658	64%	1,325	64%	37%	4%	21%	43%	No
Met Reading Objective?									No

			·		Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harbor Step 2			
MATHEMATICS	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	Not Profic	ient/Index	WI State	Local		
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP	
All Students	1,655	77%	3,241	76%					Yes	
American Indian/Alaska Native	16	······································								
Asian/Pacific Islander	180	81%	340	77%					Yes	
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	332	50%	705	50%	49%	-1%	5%	42%	No	
Hispanic	237	64%	422	62%					Yes-Cl	
White, not of Hispanic Origin	890	91%	1,743	90%				,	Yes	
English Language Learners	207	50%	409	48%	43%	10%	2%	31%	Yes-SH	
Students with Disabilities	276	40%	550	39%	61%	4%	10%	38%	No	
Economically Disadvantaged	655	55%	1,321	55%	46%	4%	21%	43%	No	
Met Mathematics Objecti	ve?		<del></del>		-/				No	

		ESS - DISTRICT REVIEW	
Part C: HIGH SCHOOL (grades 09-12)	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
	AYP	AYP	AYP
Test Participation	Yes	Yes	Yes
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	No	No
Reading	Yes	No	No
Mathematics	No	No	No
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?	No	No	No

### ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) - DISTRICT

Be aware that some parts of the ANNUAL REVIEW OF PERFORMANCE worksheets are for "Internal Use Only" because they may contain personally identifiable student information. Public release can indirectly disclose student-level data and may be a violation of pupil records law. Legal counsel should be consulted prior to release of the detailed part of the worksheet information to the public. The AYP Review Summary of the District report as a whole is not confidential and is public information.

- Under NCLB, all schools and districts are held accountable. Schools and districts with very small numbers of students undergo an individual review to determine their AYP status. Subgroups smaller than 40 Full Academic Year (FAY) students are evaluated when sufficient cell size is met at the district and/or state for accountability purposes.
- The AYP Review Summary provides the results for three years of AYP objectives, the overall AYP decision, and the School or District Accountability status. The Information summarized on this review is based on the Wisconsin Knowledge & Concepts Examinations (WKCE), the Wisconsin Alternate Assessments (WAA-SwD), and information provided for the annual School Performance Report (SPR) through the Individual Student Enrollment System (ISES).
- Districts are evaluated at each relevant grade span on results from the tested grades. Grade spans evaluated for the Other Academic Indicator Graduation and/or Attendance as relevant to the district, are *Elementary* (grades K-5), *Middle* (grades 6-8), and *High School* (grades 9-12).
- Please refer to the EXPLANATORY NOTES ANNUAL REVIEW OF SCHOOL AND DISTRICT
   PERFORMANCE technical details at <u>dpi.wi.gov/oea/pdf/ayp\_explanatory11.pdf</u> for a detailed description of each of the worksheet sections.

**Rounding Conventions**: Calculations are performed prior to rounding using formulas with multiple decimal places. Final data displayed on the Annual Review of AYP Performance are then rounded to the nearest whole percent.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) DISTRICT REVIEW SUMMARY

A district must meet each of the criteria required for the four objectives. The AYP results are summarized for the most recent year of testing in the lower right-hand box (above). The two prior school years' AYP summary and Accountability Level are also provided when applicable.

### **DISTRICT STATUS**

Complete information about federal and state accountability requirements for Wisconsin Public Schools is available at dpi.wi.gov/oea/acct/index.html.

Satisfactory: The district is not in improvement status.

**DIFI:** A district that does not meet AYP for two consecutive years in the same objective (Participation, Other Academic Indicator, Reading, or Mathematics) at all relevant grade span (Elementary, Middle and High School) will have a status designated as a "District Identified for Improvement" (DIFI). The Accountability Level is equal to the highest level of the Adequate Yearly Progress objectives. AYP must be met for two years in a row in that objective to be removed from this "improvement" status.

**DIFI** Levels 1 - 5: Missed at least one of the Adequate Yearly Progress objectives. The school or district is subject to the state requirements and additional Title I sanctions (if applicable) assigned to that level.

**DiFi** Levels 1 - 5 Improved: Met the Adequate Yearly Progress objectives in the year tested, but the school or district is subject to state requirements and additional Title I sanctions (if applicable) assigned to that level. AYP must be met for two years in a row in that objective to be removed from this "improvement" status and returned to Satisfactory status.

The overall accountability status is equal to the highest status of the four *AYP* objectives. **Title I** *Status*: Identifies if Title I funds are directed to this school or district. Only Title I schools and districts receiving Title I funds are subject to the federal sanctions. See dpi.wi.gov/esea/pdf/bul\_0402.pdf for complete information about school sanctions. For district information see: dpi.wi.gov/esea/doc/sanctions-districts.doc.

District: 3269

Madison Metropolitan

Tested Grades:

3,4,5

School: 0015

Lincoln El

School Enrollment: 361

	2008-09			2009-10		2010-11		
	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status		
Test Participation	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Reading	No	Level 1	Yes	Level 1 Improved	Yes	Satisfactory		
Mathematics	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?		No		Yes		Yes		
SCHOOL Status:		Level 1	Le	vel 1 improved	S	atisfactory		
	····					lîtle I-SwP		

TEST PARTICIPATION	Currer	nt Year	Two-	Year	
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP
All Students	335	99%	677	100%	Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native					
Asian/Pacific Islander	50	100%	96	100%	Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	65	100%	138	100%	Yes
Hispanic	120	99%	235	100%	Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	100	99%	204	99%	Yes
English Language Learners	158	100%	316	100%	Yes
Students with Disabilities	43	98%	83	99%	Yes
Economically Disadvantaged	238	99%	481	100%	Yes
Met Test Participation Obje	ctive?				Yes

	Local	•	
Objective	Current	Growth	AYP
Attendance 85%	98%		Yes

Key

Confidence Interval

CI:

Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades. Number of Full Academic Year students tested. FAY-T:

Index: Proficiency Index

N/A:

Insufficient data for reliable determination.

					Safe Hart	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
READING	Current Year		Two-	Two-Year		cient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	. FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	278	79%	558	75%					Yes-Cl
American Indian/Alaska Native		····							
Asian/Pacific Islander	46	78%	86	72%	<u> </u>				Yes-Cl
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	41	68%	88	66%					Yes-CI
Hispanic	103	69%	200	61%					Yes-Ci
White, not of Hispanic Origin	88	96%	180	96%	)				Yes
English Language Learners	140	71%	274	63%					Yes-CI
Students with Disabilities	33	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Economically Disadvantaged	189	71%	373	65%	41%	29%	85%	98%	Yes-SH
Met Reading Objective?									Yes

					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
MATHEMATICS	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	Not Profic	cient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	278	78%	558	76%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native									
Asian/Pacific Islander	46	80%	86	74%		,		····	Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	41	55%	88	58%				***************************************	Yes-Cl
Hispanic	103	69%	200	66%			***************************************		Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	88	96%	180	97%					Yes
English Language Learners	140	73%	274	68%					Yes
Students with Disabilities	33								
Economically Disadvantaged	189	69%	373	66%		***************************************			Yes
Met Mathematics Objective	ve?				F-1	***************************************			Yes

District: 3269

Madison Metropolitan

Tested Grades:

3,4,5

School: 0660

Huegel El

School Enrollment: 391

	2008-09			2009-10		2010-11		
	AYP	Status	AYP	. Status	AYP	Status		
Test Participation	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Reading	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Mathematics	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	. Yes	Satisfactory		
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?	······································	Yes		No		Yes		
SCHOOL Status:		Satisfactory	(	Satisfactory	Satisfactory			
				1		Title I-SwP		

TEST PARTICIPATION	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP
All Students	188	99%	401	99%	Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	****		İ		***************************************
Asian/Pacific Islander	19				
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	45	100%	103	100%	Yes
Hispanic	36				
White, not of Hispanic Origin	88	100%	197	99%	Yes
English Language Learners	33				
Students with Disabilities	23				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Economically Disadvantaged	96	99%	198	98%	Yes
Met Test Participation Obje	ctive?				Yes

OTHER ACADE	MIC INDI	CATOR	
Objective	Local Current	Growth	AYP
Attendance 85%	98%		Yes
Met Other Indic	ator Obje	ective?	Yes

Key

N/A.

Confidence Interval

CI: Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades.

Number of Full Academic Year students tested. FAY-T:

Proficiency Index Index:

Insufficient data for reliable determination.

					Safe Hart	or Step 1	Safe Harbor Step 2		
READING	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year .	Not Profit	cient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	159	85%	342	81%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native					<u> </u>				
Asian/Pacific Islander	16								
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	34								
Hispanic	26	***************************************			<del></del>				
White, not of Hispanic Orlgin	83	92%	181	90%					Yes
English Language Learners	24					1			·
Students with Disabilities	22								· ·
Economically Disadvantaged	71	74%	147	65%					Yes-Cl
Met Reading Objective?									Yes

The state of the s	•				Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harbor Step 2		
MATHEMATICS	Curren	t Year	Two-\	/ear	Not Profic	ient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	159	77%	342	74%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native		***************************************			ļ				
Asian/Pacific Islander	16								
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	34	***************************************							
Hispanic	26								
White, not of Hispanic Origin	83	89%	181	88%				***************************************	Yes
English Language Learners	24	·····							
Students with Disabilities	22				}				
Economically Disadvantaged	71	61%	147	57%					Yes-Cl
Met Mathematics Objectiv	re?								Yes

District: 3269 **Madison Metropolitan**  Tested Grades:

3,4,5

School: 0210 Falk El

School Enrollment: 350

	2008-09			2009-10	2010-11			
	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status		
Test Participation	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Reading	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory		
Mathematics	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes		Yes		No		
SCHOOL Status:	5	Satisfactory		Satisfactory	S	Satisfactory		
		<u>,</u>		·····	- Personal and the second	Title I-SwP		

TEST PARTICIPATION	Currer	nt Year	Two-	Year	
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP
All Students	164	99%	320	99%	Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	1	***************************************		***************************************	
Asian/Pacific Islander	18				
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	89	99%	167.	99%	Yes
Hispanic	17				
White, not of Hispanic Origin	39				
English Language Learners	28				
Students with Disabilities	30				
Economically Disadvantaged	118	99%	229	99%	Yes
Met Test Participation Object	ive?				Yes

	Local		
Objective	Current	Growth	AYF
Attendance 85%	96%		Yes

Key CI:

Confidence Interval

Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades.

index: Proficiency Index

N/A: Insufficient data for reliable determination.

Number of Full Academic Year students tested.

Safe Harbor SH:

many at Photo I and					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harbor Step 2		
READING	Curren	t Year	Two-`	Year	Not Profic	ient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	123	76%	238	77%					Yes-CI
American Indian/Alaska Native	1								
Asian/Pacific Islander	11								
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	62	65%	113	66%	33%	-3%	85%	95%	No
Hispanic	12							****	
White, not of Hispanic Origin	37								
English Language Learners	17				<u> </u>				
Students with Disabilities	24								
Economically Disadvantaged	79	65%	156	67%	31%	-11%	85%	96%	No
Met Reading Objective?									No

N V C 100 V 100 V 1 100 C 100 C					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harbor Step 2		
MATHEMATICS	Curren	t Year	Two-	<b>Year</b>	Not Profic	cient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	123	71%	238	73%				~	Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	1								
Asian/Pacific Islander	11								
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	62	55%	113	58%					Yes-CI
Hispanic	12						····		
White, not of Hispanic Origin	37								
English Language Learners	17			***************************************					
Students with Disabilities	24								
Economically Disadvantaged	79	58%	156	63%	1			~·····································	Yes-Cl
Met Mathematics Objectiv	re?	**************************************				**************************************	,	***************************************	Yes

District: 3269 Madison Metropolitan Tested Grades:

3,4,5

School: 0255

Glendale El

School Enrollment: 425

	2008-09			2009-10	2010-11		
	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	
Test Participation	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Reading	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	
Mathematics	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?	-	Yes		Yes		No	
SCHOOL Status:	(	Satisfactory		Satisfactory	Satisfactory		
					- Sued Call Company of the	Title I-SwP	

TEST PARTICIPATION	Currer	t Year	Two-	Year	
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP
All Students	221	100%	433	100%	Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	1				
Asian/Pacific Islander	20	···········			<u> </u>
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	71	100%	138	100%	Yes
Hispanic	72	100%	139	100%	Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	57	100%	113	100%	Yes
English Language Learners	88	100%	169	100%	Yes
Students with Disabilities	36				
Economically Disadvantaged	188	100%	369	100%	Yes
Met Test Participation Obie	ctive?				Ves

	Local		
Objective	Current	Growth	AYP
Attendance 85%	98%		Yes

Key

N/A:

Confidence Interval

CI: Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades.

FAY-T: Number of Full Academic Year students tested.

Index: Proficiency Index

Insufficient data for reliable determination.

					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb		
READING	Curren	t Year	Two-	rear	Not Profic	cient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	179	74%	346	74%		}			Yes-Cl
American Indian/Alaska Native	1			<u> </u>					
Asian/Pacific Islander	18			'					
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	48	69%	88	70%	1				Yes-CI
Hispanic	61	70%	117	71%					Yes-Cl
White, not of Hispanic Origin	51	87%	103	87%					Yes
English Language Learners	75	65%	142	66%	31%	-5%	85%	98%	No
Students with Disabilities	29			······································		***************************************		,	······································
Economically Disadvantaged	149	69%	290	71%	27%	-10%	85%	98%	No
Met Reading Objective?									No

					Safe Hart	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
MATHEMATICS	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	Not Profit	cient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	179	61%	346	63%	1				Yes-Cl
American Indian/Alaska Native	1						<b></b>		
Asian/Pacific Islander	18								
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	48	49%	88	48%	53%	10%	85%	97%	Yes-SH
Hispanic	61	55%	117	62%					Yes-Cl
White, not of Hispanic Origin	51	78%	103	79%					Yes
English Language Learners	75	53%	142	58%			· ·		Yes-CI
Students with Disabilities	29		,				-		
Economically Disadvantáged	149	54%	290	59%	36%	-12%	85%	98%	No
Met Mathematics Objective	/e?		·						No

District: 3269

Madison Metropolitan

Tested Grades:

6,7,8

School: 0440

**James Wright Mid** 

School Enrollment: 247

		2008-09		2009-10		2010-11
	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status
Test Participation	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory
Reading	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory
Mathematics	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?		Yes		Yes		No
SCHOOL Status:	(	Satisfactory		Satisfactory	S	atisfactory
		<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>			Exercise and participation and	Not Title I

TEST PARTICIPATION	Currer	ıt Year	Two-	Year	
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP
All Students	247	99%	495	99%	Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	4				
Asian/Pacific Islander	22				
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	85	99%	179	99%	Yes
Hispanic	103	100%	194	100%	Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	33				
English Language Learners	107	100%	207	100%	Yes
Students with Disabilities	57	96%	113	97%	Yes
Economically Disadvantaged	204	100%	415	100%	Yes
Met Test Participation Obje	ctive?				Yes

	Local		
Objective .	Current	Growth	AYP
Attendance 85%	95%		Yes

Key Cl:

Confidence Interval

Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades.

FAY-T: Number of Full Academic Year students tested.

Index: Proficiency Index

N/A: Insufficient data for reliable determination,

					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
READING	Current Year		Two-	Year	Not Profic	ient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	237	78%	469	79%					Yes-CI
American Indian/Alaska Native	4				<u> </u>			····	······································
Asian/Pacific Islander	22			·····					
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	79	75%	167	78%					Yes-Cl
Hispanic	100	73%	187	75%					Yes-Cl
White, not of Hispanic Origin	32								
English Language Learners	104	70%	200	72%		,			Yes-Cl
Students with Disabilities	53	58%	105	61%	33%	2%	85%	93%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	195	74%	394	76%					Yes-CI
Met Reading Objective?									No

					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
MATHEMATICS	Curren	t Year	Two-	Two-Year		Not Proficient/Index		Local	
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	237	67%	469	67%					Yes-CI
American Indian/Alaska Native	4				ļ ————	,		***************************************	
Asian/Pacific Islander	22							***************************************	
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	79	62%	167	60%					Yes-CI
Hispanic	100	61%	187	62%		,		***************************************	Yes-Cl
White, not of Hispanic Origin	32								,,,,,
English Language Learners	104	58%	200	59%		***************************************		~·····	Yes-Cl
Students with Disabilities	53	44%	105	44%	51%	13%	85%	93%	Yes-SH
Economically Disadvantaged	195	61%	394	62%			,		Yes-Cl
Met Mathematics Objectiv	re?			***************************************					Yes

District: 3269 Madison Metropolitan Tested Grades: 6,7,8

School: 0370

Jefferson Mid

School Enrollment: 560

		2008-09		2009-10		2010-11
	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status
Test Participation	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory
Reading	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory
Mathematics	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?		Yes		Yes		No ·
SCHOOL Status:		Satisfactory		Satisfactory	S	atisfactory
						Not Title I

TEST PARTICIPATION	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP
All Students	564	100%	1,104	100%	Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	5				
Asian/Pacific Islander	89	100%	176	100%	Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	136	99%	260	100%	Yes
Hispanic	63	100%	111	100%	Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	271	100%	547	100%	Yes
English Language Learners	67	100%	129	100%	Yes
Students with Disabilities	112	100%	220	100%	Yes
Economically Disadvantaged	207	100%	401	100%	Yes
Met Test Participation Obje	ctive?				Yes

	Local		
Objective	Current	Growth	AYP
Attendance 85%	96%		Yes

Key CI;

Confidence Interval

Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades.

FAY T: Number of Full Academic Year students tested.

Proficiency Index Index:

N/A: Insufficient data for reliable determination.

					Safe Harl	oor Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
READING	Curren	Current Year		Two-Year		Not Proficient/Index		Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	489	87%	961	88%				***************************************	Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	5			·····		<del></del>			1
Asian/Pacific Islander	82	91%	160	91%					Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	100	69%	189	70%	29%	-7%	85%	92%	No
Hispanic	51	78%	91	77%					Yes-CI
White, not of Hispanic Origin	251	95%	514	96%					Yes
English Language Learners	53	76%	101	71%	]				Yes-C1
Students with Disabilities	102	58%	190	59%	36%	-5%	85%	93%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	157	71%	296	70%	31%	5%	85%	93%	No
Met Reading Objective?									No

N					Safe Hart	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
MATHEMATICS	Current Year		Two-Year		Not Profic	cient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective . 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	489	81%	961	82%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	5							——————————————————————————————————————	,
Asian/Pacific Islander	82	91%	160	92%	·			***************************************	Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	100	47%	189	49%	48%	-4%	85%	92%	No
Hispanic	51	67%	91	68%	1	<u> </u>		***************************************	Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	251	93%	514	93%	`````````````	1			Yes
English Language Learners	53	65%	101	66%					Yes-Cl
Students with Disabilities	102	44%	190	46%	48%	-6%	85%	93%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	157	53%	296	55%	43%	-3%	85%	93%	No
Met Mathematics Objective	/e?		**************************************		·	~~~~~	***************************************		No

District: 3269 Madison Metropolitan

Tested Grades:

6,7,8

School: 0540

O'Keeffe Mid

School Enrollment: 433

		2008-09		2009-10		2010-11	
	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	
Test Participation	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory .	Yes	Satisfactory	
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Reading	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Mathematics	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?		Yes		Yes	No		
SCHOOL Status:		Satisfactory		Satisfactory		atisfactory	
			····		- Service Control of the Control of	Not Title I	

TEST PARTICIPATION	Currer	t Year	Two-	Year	
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP
All Students	430	100%	858	100%	Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	3				····
Asian/Pacific Islander	19				
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	114	99%	226	99%	Yes
Hispanic	57	100%	102	100%	Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	, 237	100%	482	100%	Yes
English Language Learners	37				
Students with Disabilities	73	99%	150	99%	Yes
Economically Disadvantaged	205	99%	410	99%	Yes
Met Test Participation Obie	ctive?				Vee

	Local		
Objective	Current	Growth	AYF
Attendance 85%	97%		Yes

Key Cl:

Confidence Interval

Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades.

FAY-T: Number of Full Academic Year students tested.

Index: Proficiency Index

N/A: Insufficient data for reliable determination,

					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
READING	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	Not Proficient/Index		WI State	Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	378	90%	748	90%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	3							<del></del>	
Asian/Pacific Islander	19	,		······································					···········
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	85	76%	163	79%					Yes-Cl
Hispanic	53	86%	96	82%				*	Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	218	97%	442	96%					Yes
Eriglish Language Learners	36	,						······································	
Students with Disabilities	65	59%	133	59%	39%	9%	85%	97%	Yes-SH
Economically Disadvantaged	163	83%	323	81%					Yes
Met Reading Objective?									Yes

					Safe Hart	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
MATHEMATICS	Curren	t Year	Two-Year Not Proficient/Index		WI State Local				
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	378	84%	747	85%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	3			·····					
Asian/Pacific Islander	19								
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	85	62%	163	62%					Yes-Cl
Hispanic	, 53	77%	96	77%					Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	218	95%	441	95%					Yes
English Language Learners	36	***************************************							***************************************
Students with Disabilities	65	45%	132	46%	50%	0%	85%	97%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	163	71%	322	72%					Yes
Met Mathematics Objectiv	/e?								No

District: 3269 **Madison Metropolitan**  Tested Grades:

10

District Review

School: 0480

SAPAR Program Hi

School Enrollment: 19

	2008-09			2009-10		2010-11		
	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status		
Test Participation	N/A	Satisfactory	N/A	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Other Academic Indicator	N/A	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory		
Reading	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Mathematics	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?		Yes		Yes		No		
SCHOOL Status:		Satisfactory		Satisfactory	S	atisfactory		
	,					Not Title I		

TEST PARTICIPATION	Currer	nt Year	Two-	Year	
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP
All Students	4				
American Indian/Alaska Native			1		
Asian/Pacific Islander					
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	1				
Hispanic	2				
White, not of Hispanic Origin	1				
English Language Learners	2				
Students with Disabilities					
Economically Disadvantaged	4				
Met Test Participation Obje	ctive?				Yes

	Local	CATOR	
Objective	Current	Growth	AYP
Attendance 85%	62%	No	No

Key

Confidence Interval

CI: Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades.

Number of Full Academic Year students tested. FAY-T:

Index: Proficiency Index

N/A: Insufficient data for reliable determination.

	•				Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
READING	Currer	nt Year	Two-	Year	Not Proficient/Index		Wi State	Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students								***************************************	District
American Indian/Alaska Native					1	,			
Asian/Pacific Islander						·		~	
Black, not of Hispanic Origin					1				
Hispanic									
White, not of Hispanic Origin									
English Language Learners									
Students with Disabilities									
Economically Disadvantaged									
Met Reading Objective?									Yes

					Safe Hart	oor Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
MATHEMATICS	Currer	nt Year	Two-Year Not Proficient/Index		WI State	Local			
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students									District
American Indian/Alaska Native	. ,			······································	<u> </u>	,		·	
Asian/Pacific Islander									·
Black, not of Hispanic Origin									
Hispanic									
White, not of Hispanic Origin								<u> </u>	
English Language Learners							***************************************		
Students with Disabilities									
Economically Disadvantaged			1						
Met Mathematics Objectiv	/e?							······	Yes

District: 3269

Madison Metropolitan

Tested Grades:

6,7,8

School: 0665

Sennett Mid

School Enrollment: 630

		2008-09		2009-10	2010-11 .		
-	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	
Test Participation	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Reading	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	
Wathematics	No	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?		No		Yes		No	
SCHOOL Status:	(	Satisfactory		Satisfactory	S	atisfactory	
,	***************************************		·····		Religion to the Control	Not Title I	

TEST PARTICIPATION	Currer	it Year	Two-	Year		
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP	
All Students	636	100%	1,246	100%	Yes	
American Indian/Alaska Native	7	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				
Asian/Pacific Islander	42	100%	85	100%	Yes	
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	204	100%	372	100%	Yes	
Hispanic	136	100%	257	100%	Yes	
White, not of Hispanic Origin	247	100%	519	100%	Yes	
English Language Learners	131	100%	247	100%	Yes	
Students with Disabilities	136	100%	261	100%	Yes	
Economically Disadvantaged	409	100%	757	100%	Yes	
Met Test Participation Obje	ctive?	~			Yes	

	Local		
Objective	Current	Growth	AYP
Attendance 85%	.96%	·····	Yes

Key

Confidence Interval

CI: Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades.

Number of Full Academic Year students tested.

index: Proficiency Index

N/A: Insufficient data for reliable determination.

					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
READING	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	Not Profic	ient/index	WI State	Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	554	83%	1,095	84%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	5								······································
Asian/Pacific Islander	39			-					~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	156	.71%	281	71%	28%	2%	85%	95%	No
Hispanic	125	80%	238	81%					Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	229	92%	488	93%					Yes
English Language Learners	122	77%	231	78%					Yes-Cl
Students with Disabilities	116	53%	223	57%	36%	-11%	85%	95%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	337	75%	629	77%					Yes-CI
Met Reading Objective?									No

					Safe Hart	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
MATHEMATICS	Curren	t Year	Two-	/ear	Not Profic	cient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	554	74%	1,094	77%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	5				<u> </u>				
Asian/Pacific Islander	39							·	
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	156	57%	281	58%	40%	-7%	85%	95%	No
Hispanic	125	70%	238	74%					Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	229	87%	487	88%					Yes
English Language Learners	122	64%	231	69%					Yes
Students with Disabilities	116	44%	222	47%	48%	~10%	85%	95%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	337	64%	629	67%	j				Yes-CI
Met Mathematics Objectiv	re?		<del>}</del>	····	······································	<del></del>	***************************************	***************************************	No

District: 3269

Madison Metropolitan

Tested Grades:

6,7,8

School: 0710

Sherman Mid

School Enrollment: 381

		2008-09		2009-10	2010-11		
	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	
Test Participation	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Reading	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Mathematics	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?		Yes		Yes		No	
SCHOOL Status:	9	Satisfactory		Satisfactory	S	atisfactory	
<u></u>			***************************************	·····		Not Title I	

TEST PARTICIPATION	Currer	ıt Year	Two	Year	
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP
All Students	385	100%	746	100%	Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	2	***************************************			
Asian/Pacific Islander	52	100%	104	100%	Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	134	100%	259	100%	Yes
Hispanic	70	100%	126	100%	Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	127	100%	254	100%	Yes
English Language Learners	94	100%	184	100%	Yes
Students with Disabilities	77	100%	144	100%	Yes
Economically Disadvantaged	271	100%	510	100%	Yes
Met Test Participation Obje	ctive?				Yes

	MIC INDI Local		
Objective	Current	Growth	AYP
Attendance 85%	98%		Yes

Key CI:

Confidence Interval

Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades.

FAY-T: Number of Full Academic Year students tested.

Index: Proficiency Index

N/A: Insufficient data for reliable determination.

200 March 20 March 200 B 2004					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb	Safe Harbor Step 2	
READING	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	Not Profit	cient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	333	86%	644	85%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	2								
Asian/Pacific Islander	46	90%	95	84%					Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	106	80%	201	79%					Yes
Hispanic	63	82%	116	80%					Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	116	94%	229	93%					Yes
English Languagė Learners	79	80%	166	77%					Yes
Students with Disabilities	63	59%	116	57%	43%	9%	85%	96%	Yes-SH
Economically Disadvantaged	225	83%	427	81%					Yes
Met Reading Objective?	,								Yes

16 Mt 18 mars 1 pour 16 Mt 12 mars 2-4.					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb		
MATHEMATICS	Curren	t Year	Two-	rear	Not Profic	cient/Index	WI State	Local	***
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	333	74%	644	77%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	2								
Asian/Pacific Islander	46	85%	95	82%					Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	106	58%	201	62%					Yes-Cl
Hispanic	63	69%	116	75% .					Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	116	88%	229	89%					Yes
English Language Learners	79	69%	166	74%					Yes
Students with Disabilities	63	48%	116	50%	46%	0%	85%	96%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	225	69%	427	71%	1		1		Yes
Met Mathematics Objectiv	re?							**************************************	No

District: 3269 Madison Metropolitan Tested Grades:

School: 0840

West Hi

School Enrollment: 2,087

10

		2008-09		2009-10		2010-11
	AYP	Staţus	AYP	Status	AYP	Status
Test Participation	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory
Reading	Yes	Level 1 Improved	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory
Mathematics	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?		Yes	,	Yes	·	No
SCHOOL Status:	Le	vel 1 Improved		Satisfactory	S	atisfactory
<u> </u>		······································	-l	······································	- EMACADOM CONTRACTOR	Not Title I

TEST PARTICIPATION	Currer	it Year	Two-	Year	
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP
All Students	557	98%	1,052	98%	Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	9				
Asian/Pacific Islander	67	97%	117	98%	Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	85	96%	195	96%	Yes
Hispanic	87	98%	152	98%	Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	309	98%	570	98%	Yes
English Language Learners	66	98%	129	99%	Yes
Students with Disabilities	69	97%	135	96%	Yes
Economically Disadvantaged	176	97%	359	98%	Yes
Met Test Participation Obje	ctive?	,			Yes

	Local		
Objective	Current	Growth	AYP
Graduation 85%	93%		Yes

Key

Confidence Interval

CI: Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades.

Number of Full Academic Year students tested.

Index: Proficiency Index N/A:

Insufficient data for reliable determination.

Safe Harbor SH:

					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harbor Step 2		
READING	Current Year		Two-	Year	Not Proficient/Index		Wi State	Local	1
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students .	510	88%	941	88%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	7			***************************************	İ				
Asian/Pacific Islander	58	90%	102	84%					Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	71	65%	151	70%	26%	-27%	85%	Growth	No
Hispanic	78	74%	135	72%					Yes-CI
White, not of Hispanic Origin	296	97%	540	97%					Yes
English Language Learners	60	61%	115	56%	36%	22%	2%	37%	Yes-SH
Students with Disabilities	61	52%	116	56%	38%	-8%	10%	50%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	148	67%	288	66%	34%	-2%	21%	49%	No
Met Reading Objective?									No

N. P. M 2 (1971) 25 M. 1971 25 A. P.	•				Safe Hart	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
MATHEMATICS	Curren	t Year	Two-	rear .	Not Profic	cient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	507	85%	938	85%			·		Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	7			,					·····
Asian/Pacific Islander	58	85%	102	82%					Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	69	53%	149	61%					Yes-Cl
Hispanic	77	70%	134	68%					Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	296	.96%	540	96%				***************************************	Yes
English Language Learners	60	53%	115	52%					Yes-Cl
Students with Disabilities	58	47%	113	51%	41%	-14%	10%	50%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	146	59%	286	60%	·				Yes-Cl
Met Mathematics Objective	re?		***************************************						No

District: 3269 Madison Metropolitan Tested Grades:

6,7,8

School: 0315

Whitehorse Mid

School Enrollment: 423

	2008-09			2009-10		2010-11		
,	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status		
Test Participation	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Reading	No	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Saţisfactory		
Mathematics	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory		
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?		No		Yes		No		
SCHOOL Status:		Satisfactory		Satisfactory	S	atisfactory		
				·····	- Boursessammers Segma	Not Title I		

TEST PARTICIPATION	Currer	t Year	Two-	Year		
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP	
All Students	425	100%	871	100%	Yes	
American Indian/Alaska Native	5					
Asian/Pacific Islander	19					
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	101	100%	216	100%	Yes	
Hispanic	57	100%	107	100%	Yes	
White, not of Hispanic Origin	243	100%	499	100%	Yes	
English Language Learners	49	100%	90	100%	Yes	
Students with Disabilities	77	100%	164	100%	Yes	
Economically Disadvantaged	199	100%	419	100%	Yes	
Met Test Participation Obje	ctive?			·	Yes	

	Local		
Objective	Current	Growth	AYP
Attendance 85%	98%		Yes

Key

Confidence Interval

CI:

Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades. FAY-T: Number of Full Academic Year students tested.

Index: Proficiency Index

NA: Insufficient data for reliable determination.

Safe Harbor SH:

					Safe Harbor Step 1		Safe Harbor Step 2		
READING	Current Year		Two-Year		Not Proficient/Index		WI State	Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	393	89%	791	89%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	4				<u> </u>				
Asian/Pacific Islander	19			······································				-	
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	88	77%	176	76%					Yes-CI
Hispanic	50	82%	94	85%	-				Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	232	94%	475	94%					Yes
English Language Learners	41	78%	77	81%	}				Yes
Students with Disabilities	72	56%	142	58%	37%	-19%	85%	97%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	174	80%	351	79%					Yes
Met Reading Objective?									No

					Safe Harbor Step 1		Safe Harbor Step 2		
MATHEMATICS	Current Year		Two-Year		Not Proficient/Index		WI State	Local	
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	393	78%	791	81%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	4	***************************************		~	<u> </u>				
Asian/Pacific Islander	19			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	88	55%	176	59%					Yes-Cl
Hispanic	50	68%	94	73%					Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	232	90%	475	91%				·····	Yes
English Language Learners	41	58%	77	64%					Yes-CI
Students with Disabilities	72	40%	142	48%	42%	-19%	85%	97%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	174	63%	351	68%				***************************************	Yes
Met Mathematics Objectiv	/e?			***************************************		***************************************	***************************************		No

District: 3269 **Madison Metropolitan**  Tested Grades:

6,7,8

School: 0090 Cherokee Heights Mid

School Enrollment: 524

	2008-09			2009-10	. 2010-11			
	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status		
Test Participation	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory		
Reading	No	Level 1	Yes	Level 1 Improved	No	Level 2		
Mathematics	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory		
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?		No		Yes		No		
SCHOOL Status:		Level 1	Le	vel 1 improved	Level 2			

TEST PARTICIPATION	Currer	t Year	. Two-	Year	
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP
All Students	533	100%	1,066	100%	Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	6				
Asian/Pacific Islander	44	100%	83	100%	Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	184	100%	356	100%	Yes
Hispanic	111	100%	208	100%	Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	188	100%	410	100%	Yes
English Language Learners	109	100%	206	100%	Yes
Students with Disabilities	107	100%	212	100%	Yes
Economically Disadvantaged	332	100%	623	100%	Yes
Met Test Participation Obje	ctive?				Yes

OTHER ACADE	MIC INDI	CATOR	
Objective	Local Current	Growth	AYP
Attendance 85%	97%		Yes
Met Other Indic	ator Obje	ective?	Yes

Key

N/A:

Confidence Interval

CI:

Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades. FAY-T: Number of Full Academic Year students tested.

Proficiency Index Index:

insufficient data for reliable determination.

					Safe Harbor Step 1		Safe Harbor Step 2		
READING	Current Year		Two-Year		Not Proficient/Index		WI State	Local	1
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	riteria Current	AYP
All Students	436	81%	893	81%				***************************************	Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	2	***************************************			1	<u> </u>			
Asian/Pacific Islander	38				1				
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	129	66%	253	65%	36%	10%	85%	96%	Yes-SH
Hispanic	97	70%	183	69%					Yes-Cl
White, not of Hispanic Origin	170	97%	379	97%				<u></u>	Yes
English Language Learners	92	65%	176	62%	36%	19%	85%	97%	Yes-SH
Students with Disabilities	90	46%	178	49%	42%	-13%	85%	95%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	253	70%	486	68%	33%	13%	85%	96%	Yes-SH
Met Reading Objective?									No

A se at some or property of the first out					Safe Harbor Step 1		Safe Harbor Step 2		
MATHEMATICS	Curren	Current Year		Two-Year		Not Proficient/Index		Local	
Objective 68%	. FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	436	73%	893	75%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	2					<u> </u>			
Asian/Pacific Islander	38			*					
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	129	49%	253	54%	42%	-12%	85%	96%	No
Hispanic	97	65%	183	65%					Yes-Cl
White, not of Hispanic Origin	170	. 94%	379	93%	<u> </u>				Yes
English Language Learners	92	58%	176	58%					Yes-Cl
Students with Disabilities	90	34%	178	41%	46%	-30%	85%	95%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	253	58%	486	60%	38%	-5%	85%	96%	No
Met Mathematics Objectiv	re?						***************************************		No

District: 3269 Madison Metropolitan Tested Grades:

School: 0150 East Hi School Enrollment: 1,617

AYP No	Status Level 2	
<b>I</b>	Level 2	
W		
No	Satisfactory	
No	Level 5	
No	Level 5	
	No	
	Level 5	

TEST PARTICIPATION	Currer	it Year	Two-	Year		
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP	
All Students	389	97%	787	97%	Yes	
American Indian/Alaska Native	4					
Asian/Pacific Islander	42	100%	80	99%	Yes	
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	114	94%	233	95%	Yes	
Hispanic	57	100%	108	98%	Yes	
White, not of Hispanic Origin	172	98%	361	98%	Yes	
English Language Learners	65	100%	127	98%	Yes	
Students with Disabilities	77	94%	148	92%	No	
Economically Disadvantaged	219	96%	450	96%	Yes	
Met Test Participation Obje	ctive?				No	

	Local		
Objective	Current	Growth	AYP
Graduation 85%	75%	No	No

Key

Confidence Interval

C1:

Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades. FAY-T: Number of Full Academic Year students tested.

Proficiency Index Index:

Insufficient data for reliable determination. N/A:

					Safe Hart	or Step 1	Safe Harbor Step 2		
READING	Curren	t Year	Two-	/ear	Not Profit	clent/Index	WI State	Local	• > <
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	315	80%	650	80%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	3	·							***************************************
Asian/Pacific Islander	37								
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	73	64%	160	68%	29%	-26%	5%	48%	No
Hispanic	48	72%	86	71%					. Yes-CI
White, not of Hispanic Origin	154	94%	326	93%	***************************************				Yes
English Language Learners	54	49%	107	49%	41%	-4%	2%	37%	No
Students with Disabilities	59	56%	114	52%	49%	17%	10%	45%	Yes-SH
Economically Disadvantaged	158	64%	338	66%	32%	-13%	21%	48%	No
Met Reading Objective?							,		No

					Safe Hart	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
MATHEMATICS	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	Not Profit	cient/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	315	73%	648	73%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	3								
Asian/Pacific Islander	37								
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	73	48%	159	53%	42%	-18%	5%	48%	No
Hispanic	48	64%	86	62%					Yes-Cl
White, not of Hispanic Origin	154	90%	325	88%					Yes
English Language Learners	54	50%	107	45%					Yes-Cl
Students with Disabilities	59	40%	112	34%	69%	17%	10%	45%	Yes-SH
Economically Disadvantaged	158	55%	336	58%	40%	-5%	21%	48%	No
Met Mathematics Objectiv	re?		***************************************	<del></del>	***************************************	,		**************************************	No

District: 3269 **Madison Metropolitan**  Tested Grades:

School: 0420

LaFollette Hi

School Enrollment: 1,590

		2008-09		2009-10		2010-11	
	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	
Test Participation	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Reading	Yes	Level 2 Improved	No	Level 3	Yes	Level 3 Improved	
Mathematics	No	Level 1	No	Level 2	No	Level 3	
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?	,	No		No	No .		
SCHOOL Status:	Le	vel 2 Improved		Level 3	Level 3		
				4	- Bankin Caraca	Not Title I	

TEST PARTICIPATION	Currer	nt Year	Two-	Year		
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP.	
All Students	408	99%	801	99%	Yes	
American Indian/Alaska Native	5					
Asian/Pacific Islander	31					
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	108	97%	218	98%	Yes	
Hispanic	65	97%	118	98%	Yes	
White, not of Hispanic Origin	199	100%	398	100%	Yes	
English Language Learners	52	98%	111	99%	Yes	
Students with Disabilities	88	97%	170	97%	Yes	
Economically Disadvantaged	215	98%	412	98%	Yes	
Met Test Participation Object	:tive?				Yes	

OTHER ACADE	MIC INDI	CATOR	
Objective	Local Current	Growth	AYP
Graduation 85%	85%		Yes
Met Other Indic	ator Obje	ective?	Yes

Key CI:

Confidence Interval

Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades. Number of Full Academic Year students tested. FAY-T:

Index: Proficiency Index

N/A: Insufficient data for reliable determination.

Safe Harbor SH:

					Safe Harl	oor Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
READING	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	Not Profi	clent/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	351	77%	684	77%					Yes-CI
American Indian/Alaska Native	4.					<u> </u>			
Asian/Pacific Islander	26								
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	84	64%	161	62%	41%	13%	5%	34%	Yes-SH
Hispanic	54	70%	98	65%	1				Yes-C1
White, not of Hispanic Origin	183	85%	370	86%					Yes
English Language Learners	38					•		,	
Students with Disabilities	72	46%	135	45%	56%	6%	85%	Growth	Yes-SH
Economically Disadvantaged	170	67%	317	65%	.38%	14%	85%	Growth	Yes-SH
Met Reading Objective?			***************************************						Yes

N N A 2004 A 2004 A A 2004 A A					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	_
MATHEMATICS	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	Not Profic	cient/Index	Wi State	Local	
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prìor Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	350	70%	684	69%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	4				1			***************************************	
Asian/Pacific Islander	26								
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	84	54%	162	52%	51%	8%	5%	34%	Yes-SH
Hispanic	54	61%	98	56%				~,	Yes-CI
White, not of Hispanic Origin	182	79%	369	81%					Yes
English Language Learners	38								
Students with Disabilities	72	30%	136	31%	67%	-5%	85%	Growth	No ·
Economically Disadvantaged	170	56%	318	55%	46%	-1%	85%	Growth	No
Met Mathematics Objectiv	re?								No

District: 3269

Madison Metropolitan

Tested Grades:

3,4,5

School: 0475

Leopold El

School Enrollment: 704

2008-09		2009-10		2010-11		
AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	
Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
No	Level 1	Yes	Level 1 Improved	No	Level 2	
Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
4444444	No	•	Yes	No		
	Level 1	Le	vel 1 improved	Level 2		
\ \ \ \	res res No	Yes Satisfactory Yes Satisfactory No Level 1 Yes Satisfactory No	Yes     Satisfactory     Yes       Yes     Satisfactory     Yes       No     Level 1     Yes       Yes     Satisfactory     Yes       No     No	Yes     Satisfactory     Yes     Satisfactory       Yes     Satisfactory     Yes     Satisfactory       No     Level 1     Yes     Level 1 Improved       Yes     Satisfactory     Yes     Satisfactory       No     Yes     Yes	Yes Satisfactory Yes Satisfactory Yes Yes Satisfactory Yes Satisfactory Yes No Level 1 Yes Level 1 Improved No Yes Satisfactory Yes Satisfactory Yes No Yes	

TEST PARTICIPATION	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	]	
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP	
All Students	377	100%	703	100%	Yes	
American Indian/Alaska Native	3	***************************************				
Asian/Pacific Islander	11					
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	140	100%	251	100%	Yes	
Hispanic	118	99%	215	100%	Yes	
White, not of Hispanic Origin	105	100%	207	100%	Yes	
English Language Learners	119	100%	. 226	100%	Yes	
Students with Disabilities	.58	100%	104	100%	Yes	
Economically Disadvantaged	271	100%	496	100%	Yes	
Met Test Participation Object	tive?				Yes	

	Local		
Objective	Current	Growth	AYP
Attendance 85%	98%		Yes

Key

CI: Confidence Interval

Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades. Number of Full Academic Year students tested.

FAY-T:

Index: Proficiency Index

N/A: Insufficient data for reliable determination.

					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harbor Step 2		
READING	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	Not Profic	clent/Index	WI State	Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	286	78%	561	78%					Yes-Cl
American Indian/Alaska Native	1		٠,	······································	1				
Asian/Pacific Islander	11								······································
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	81	67%	163	71%	25%	-4%	85%	96%	No
Hispanic :	102	71%	188	66%		ļ			Yes-CI
White, not of Hispanic Origin	91	96%	186	94%					Yes
English Language Learners	103	70%	193	66%	,			······································	Yes-CI
Students with Disabilities	47	64%	86	66%				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes-C1
Economically Disadvantaged	184	69%	362	68%	33%	8%	85%	97%	Yes-SH
Met Reading Objective?				***************************************					No

	······································				Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
MATHEMATICS	Curren	t Year	Two-Year		Not Proficient/Index		WI State	Local	
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	286	74%	561	72%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	1		,						
Asian/Pacific Islander	11			·····					·
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	81	58%	163	58%				······································	Yes-CI
Hispanic	102	71%	188	65%					Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	91	91%	186	91%					Yes
English Language Learners	103	70%	193	65%	Ī				Yes
Students with Disabilities	47	56%	86	58%					Yes-Cl
Economically Disadvantaged	184	64%	362	60%					Yes-CI
Met Mathematics Objective	e?								Yes

District: 3269 Madison Metropolitan

Tested Grades:

10

School: 0360

Memorial Hi

School Enrollment: 1,866

Adequa	ite Yea	rly Progress -	School F	Review Summa	ry	•	
ĺ	2008-09			2009-10	2010-11		
	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	
Test Participation	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Other Academic Indicator	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Reading	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	No	Level 1	
Mathematics	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Met Adequate Yearly Progress?	* <u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	Yes		No	No .		
SCHOOL Status:				Satisfactory	Levei 1		
	***************************************		<del>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</del>			Not Title I	

TEST PARTICIPATION	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year		
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP	
All Students	457	98%	915	99%	Yes	
American Indian/Alaska Native	2		1	***************************************		
Asian/Pacific Islander	60	98%	116	99%	Yes	
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	87	99%	203	99%	Yes	
Hispanic	61	98%	101	99%	Yes	
White, not of Hispanic Origin	247	98%	488	99%	Yes	
English Language Learners	53	100%	95	100%	Yes	
Students with Disabilities	65	98%	159	99%	Yes	
Economically Disadvantaged	150	98%	322	98%	Yes	
Met Test Participation Obje	ctive?				Yes	

OTHER ACADE	MIC INDI	CATOR	
Objective	Local Current	Growth	AYP
Graduation 85%		Yes	
Met Other Indic	ator Obje	ective?	Yes

Key Cl

Confidence Interval

Confidence interval

Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades.

FAY-T: Number of Full Academic Year students tested.

Index: Proficiency Index

N/A: Insufficient data for reliable determination.

					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harbor Step 2		
READING	Current Year		Two-Year		Not Proficient/Index		WI State	Local	
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	405	87%	808	86%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native				***************************************	<u> </u>	}			
Asian/Pacific Islander	54	95%	103	92%					Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	67	63%	151	61%	42%	20%	5%	43%	Yes-SH
Hispanic	49	67%	83	70%					Yes-Cl
White, not of Hispanic Origin	235	96%	466	96%					Yes
English Language Learners	42	58%	74	59%	30%	5%	2%	29%	Yes-SH
Students with Disabilities	58	54%	139	56%	41%	-1%	10%	42%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	119	65%	251	63%	39%	13%	21%	45%	Yes-SH
Met Reading Objective?	,								No

					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harbor Step 2		İ
MATHEMATICS	Current Year		Two-Year		Not Proficient/Index		WI State	Local	
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	404	85%	807	82%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native				······································	<u> </u>		<b></b>		
Asian/Pacific Islander	54	93%	103	91%				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Yes
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	66	55%	150	47%					Yes-Ci
Hispanic	49	64%	83	67%				**************************************	Yes-Cl
White, not of Hispanic Origin	235	96%	466	94%					Yes
English Language Learners	42	55%	74	59%					Yes-C1
Students with Disabilities	57	49%	138	44%	59%	16%	10%	42%	Yes-SH
Economically Disadvantaged	118	59%	250	54%	1				Yes-CI
Met Mathematics Objective:	7				/			***************************************	Yes

District: 3269 Madison Metropolitan Tested Grades:

6,7,8

School: 0620 Toki Mid

School Enrollment: 477

2008-09		2009-10		2010-11		
AYP	Status	AYP	Status	AYP	Status	
Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
Yes.	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	
No	Level 1	No	Level 2	No	Level 3	
Yes	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	
	No		No	. No		
	Level 1		Level 2	Level 3		
	Yes Yes No	AYP Status Yes Satisfactory Yes Satisfactory No Level 1 Yes Satisfactory No	AYP Status AYP Yes Satisfactory Yes Yes Satisfactory Yes No Level 1 No Yes Satisfactory Yes No	AYP         Status         AYP         Status           Yes         Satisfactory         Yes         Satisfactory           Yes         Satisfactory         Yes         Satisfactory           No         Level 1         No         Level 2           Yes         Satisfactory         Yes         Satisfactory           No         No         No	AYP     Status     AYP     Status     AYP       Yes     Satisfactory     Yes     Satisfactory     Yes       Yes     Satisfactory     Yes     Satisfactory     Yes       No     Level 1     No     Level 2     No       Yes     Satisfactory     Yes     Satisfactory     No       No     No     No	

TEST PARTICIPATION	Curren	t Year	Two-	Year	}	
Objective 95%	Enrolled	Tested	Enrolled	Tested	AYP	
All Students	, 481	99%	975	99%	Yes	
American Indian/Alaska Native	3					
Asian/Pacific Islander	34					
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	167	98%	341	99%	Yes	
Hispanic	67	100%	126	100%	Yes	
White, not of Hispanic Origin	210	100%	435	99%	Yes	
English Language Learners	56	100%	118	100%	Yes	
Students with Disabilities	102	97%	225	96%	Yes	
Economically Disadvantaged	246	99%	494	99%	Yes	
Met Test Participation Obje	ctive?			,	Yes	

	Local -		
Objective	Current	Growth	AYP
Attendance 85%	97%		Yes

Key CI:

Confidence Interval

Enrolled: The total students enrolled in tested grades. FAY T: Number of Full Academic Year students tested.

Index:

Proficiency Index

N/A:

Insufficient data for reliable determination.

					Safe Harb	or Step 1	Safe Harb	or Step 2	
READING	Curren	Current Year		Two-Year		Not Proficient/Index		Local	]
Objective 80%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	408	84%	831	82%					Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	3					<u> </u>			
Asian/Pacific Islander	33								
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	116	69%	252	63%	42%	26%	85%	96%	Yes-SH
Hispanic .	56	75%	104	74%			{		Yes-CI
White, not of Hispanic Origin	200	96%	407	96%					Yes
English Language Learners	46	63%	96	64%					Yes-CI
Students with Disabilities	83	49%	185	49%	48%	5%	85%	95%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	184	70%	378	66%	37%	19%	85%	96%	Yes-SH
Met Reading Objective?									No

					Safe Hark	or Step 1	Safe Harbor Step 2		
MATHEMATICS	Curren	Current Year		Two-Year		Not Proficient/Index		Local	
Objective 68%	FAY-T	Index	FAY-T	Index	Prior Yr	Reduced	Criteria	Current	AYP
All Students	408	77%	832	75%	1				Yes
American Indian/Alaska Native	3				<del> </del>				
Asîan/Pacific Islander	33					(	<u></u>		
Black, not of Hispanic Origin	116	54%	253	52%	50%	11%	85%	96%	Yes-SH
Hispanic	56	71%	104	65%		·			Yes
White, not of Hispanic Origin	200	93%	407	92%					Yes
English Language Learners	46	60%	96	58%					Yes-CI
Students with Disabilities	83	37%	186	42%	52%	-8%	85%	95%	No
Economically Disadvantaged	184	59%	378	56%					Yes-C1
Met Mathematics Objectiv	re?					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		***************************************	No

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

### What is AYP and How is it Calculated?

Each year under the federal education law *No Child Left Behind* (NCLB), all Wisconsin public schools and districts must meet the state's four *Adequate Yearly Progress* (AYP) Objectives. Each objective and the methods used to determine if each objective has been met are described below.

#### 2010-11

Graduation or Attendance — Elementary and middle schools must have an attendance rate of at least 85% or show growth over the prior year. High schools that graduate students must have Legacy high school graduation rates of at least 85% or show at least 2% growth over the prior year.

**Test Participation** — 95% of all students enrolled in the tested grade(s) during the testing window must participate in the *Wisconsin Student Assessment System* (WSAS), which includes the *Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examinations* (WKCE) and the *Wisconsin Alternate Assessment* for Students with Disabilities (WAA-SwD). The test participation objective is met using the current year's participation rate or a two-year average participation in the Reading or Mathematics examinations.

Reading — A school or district must achieve a proficiency index of 80.5%.

Mathematics — A school or district must achieve a proficiency index of 68.5%.

The Test Participation, Reading, and Mathematics objectives above apply to all students in the tested grades and to subgroups of sufficient size. The subgroups include five major racial/ethnic groups, students with disabilities, English Language Learners, and economically disadvantaged students.

The proficiency index for Reading and Mathematics is calculated by assigning one point for each full academic year (FAY) student who scores in the Proficient or Advanced categories on the WSAS plus one-half point for each student scoring in the Basic category. The total points are divided by the total number of FAY students tested to calculate the proficiency index.

In Reading and Mathematics, a confidence interval may be applied to the AYP decision. A confidence interval increases consistency of the accountability decisions similar to the *margin of error* associated with an opinion poll.

The Reading and Mathematics objectives also include Safe Harbor provisions for those missing the annual AYP objective. Safe Harbor allows a school or district to demonstrate growth by showing a 10% reduction in the percent of students scoring in the Basic or Minimal Performance range and reaching the criteria for another academic indicator; graduation, attendance or science. A confidence interval is also applied to Safe Harbor calculations.

Schools that miss the same AYP objective for two consecutive years are identified for improvement. District AYP determinations are based on the aggregate of all students at each grade span, elementary, middle, and high school. Districts that miss the same objective at all three grade spans for two consecutive years are identified as in need of improvement. Schools and districts identified for improvement face federal sanctions if they receive Title I funds. State and Federal laws require publication of schools and districts that do not make AYP.

State and federal laws require the annual review of school performance to determine if student academic achievement and progress is adequate. The review includes a comparison of actual achievement levels of students in Reading and Mathematics and Wisconsin's annual measurable objectives (AMO) in these subjects. These annual measurable objectives were set separately based on

actual achievement levels of students in 2001-02 and increase over time. The same annual measurable objectives apply to all districts, schools, and student groups in the Wisconsin public school system.

Summary AYP information is available on the web for each Wisconsin school and district as well as <u>examples</u> and <u>technical details</u>. Care should be taken when communicating test results and AYP calculations to protect student privacy. See: <u>www.dpi.wi.gov/oea/pdf/ayp\_example11.pdf</u> and www.dpi.wi.gov/oea/pdf/ayp\_explanatory11.pdf

<u>An AYP Primer</u> - This is a two page document that gives a basic overview of Adequate Yearly Progress policy. See: <a href="https://www.dpi.wi.gov/esea/pdf/aypprimer.pdf">www.dpi.wi.gov/esea/pdf/aypprimer.pdf</a>

## Annual Measurable Objectives for Reading and Mathematics 2002-03 through 2013-14

PERCENT OF WI STUDENTS WHO NEED TO SCORE AT PROFICIENT/ADVANCED

Annual Measurable Objectives (AMO)

		READING	<b>MATHEMATICS</b>
Starting Point	2001-02	61%	37%
	2002-03	61%	37%
	2003-04	61%	37%
Intermediate Goal	2004-05	67.5%	47.5%
(Begin 3-8 testing)	2005-06	67.5%	47.5%
	2006-07	67.5%	47.5%
Intermediate Goal	2007-08	74%	58%
	2008-09	74%	58%
	2009-10	74%	58%
Intermediate Goal	2010-11	80.5%	68.5%
Intermediate Goal	2011-12	87%	79%
Intermediate Goal	2012-13	93.5%	89.5%
Goal: All Proficient	2013-14	100%	100%

## - Explanatory Notes ANNUAL REVIEW OF SCHOOL AND DISTRICT PERFORMANCE

#### Introduction

### Protecting Student Privacy:

Many portions of the Annual Review of School/District Performance are for school and district use, as they may contain personally identifiable student information whose release may be a violation of pupil records law. The Adequate Yearly Progress—School Review Summary and the Adequate Yearly Progress—District Review Summary boxes at the top of report (with bold borders) are public information. Legal counsel should be consulted prior to public release of data other than the AYP Review Summary information.

### Student Subgroups and Minimum Subgroup Size:

Under No Child Left Behind (NCLB), schools as a whole (all tested grades) and districts (by grade-span) are held accountable for student performance in nine subgroups: All Students, each of five major racial/ethnic categories (American Indian, Asian/Pacific Islander, Black, Hispanic, and White), English Language Learners (ELL), Students with Disabilities, and Economically Disadvantaged students. In Wisconsin, results are publicly reported for subgroups greater than 5 students. However, for AYP purposes, the minimum number of students needed to make valid accountability decisions for schools or districts is defined as 40 for subgroups. Student subgroups not meeting these minimum cell size requirements at the school level are evaluated for accountability purposes in the all student group and at the district level when sufficient cell size is met.

### Schools with Small Numbers of Students or No Tested Grades:

Under NCLB, all public schools and districts must be held accountable. Schools without a tested grade and those with fewer than 6 Full Academic Year (FAY) students in tested grades are evaluated for accountability purposes by their district using locally available evidence of meeting the AYP objectives.

### Full Academic Year:

A full academic year (FAY) student is defined as one continuously enrolled through the Wisconsin Student Locator System (WSLS) for 9.25 academic months prior to testing. This is approximately the time from the fall testing window to the prior year's third Friday of September enrollment count. Since each district determines its own start date each fall, there is no "statewide" starting date for calculating a full academic year; FAY is calculated individually for each district through dates submitted to WSLS. See <a href="mailto:dpi.wi.gov/lbstat/isescalc.html">dpi.wi.gov/lbstat/isescalc.html</a> for more information.

### Sources of Information Used for Determining Accountability:

Information contained in the AYP Review Summary is based on results from the Wisconsin Student Assessment System (WSAS), which consists of the Wisconsin Knowledge and Concepts Examination (WKCE) and the Wisconsin Alternate Assessment for Students with Disabilities (WAA-SwD); and graduation and attendance information submitted by districts for the Wisconsin School Performance Report (SPR) through the Individual Student Enrollment System (ISES).

#### Schools

A school misses AYP for an objective if one or more student subgroups meets minimum cell size and fails to meet the AYP criterion for that objective. Missing AYP in that same objective for two or more consecutive years results in a designation as a "School Identified for Improvement," or SIFI Level 1-5, corresponding to the number of years that the same objective has placed them in improvement status. If a SIFI meets AYP for that objective the following year, the school is designated as "improved." If a SIFI Level 1-5 Improved school meets AYP for a second consecutive year in that objective, it receives a "satisfactory" designation. The overall accountability status of a school or district is equal to the highest improvement level of its four AYP objectives.

An AYP determination of "N/A," representing "Not Applicable," appears if the school or district did not have enough students to meet Wisconsin's minimum subgroup size (described above) or has only one year of data. Schools and districts that have met all their AYP objectives for two consecutive years are designated "Satisfactory."

#### Districts

Districts are evaluated for AYP in a manner similar to that used for evaluating schools, as described above. The difference is that districts are evaluated at each of three grade spans in which they have tested grades: Elementary (3-5), Middle (6-8), and High School (10). To be designated as a "District Identified for Improvement," or DIFI, a district must miss the same objective at all relevant grade spans for two consecutive years.

### Sanctions

Schools and districts that receive federal Title I funds are subject to sanctions for failing to meet AYP for two or more consecutive years; complete descriptions of the Title I sanctions are available at <a href="https://www.dpi.wi.gov/esea/doc/sanctions-schools.doc">www.dpi.wi.gov/esea/doc/sanctions-schools.doc</a> and <a href="https://www.dpi.wi.gov/esea/doc/sanctions-districts.doc">www.dpi.wi.gov/esea/doc/sanctions-districts.doc</a>, respectively. Additional information about "Corrective Action and Restructuring for Schools Identified for Improvement" is found in ESEA Information Update Bulletin No. 04.02 at <a href="https://dpi.mic.gov/esea/bulletins.html">dpi.mic.gov/esea/bulletins.html</a>.

### Understanding Each Part of the Annual Review of School/District Performance Report:

Adequate Yearly Progress – School/District Review Summary:

The summary contains publicly-available information, lists the AYP status for both the current year and a two-year average for each of the four criteria used to determine AYP (described below):

- Test Participation,
- · the Other Academic Indicator (Graduation or Attendance), and
- Reading and Mathematics proficiency.

All public schools and public school districts will be accountable for the performance of student subgroups—including major racial/ethnic subgroups, students with disabilities, limited English proficient students, and economically disadvantaged students—through the AYP determination.

The performance of all students enrolled, as well as the following subgroups, outlined in NCLB sec. 1111(b)(2)(C)(v), are measured against established annual proficiency objectives and participation goals.

- The racial/ethnic groups are the same as the groups used on the Enrollment Report (PI-1290), and on the IDEA Federal
   Student Data Report (PI-2197) Wisconsin Administrative Code, and are as follows:
  - Asian/Pacific Islander.
  - Black, Not of Hispanic Origin,
  - Hispanic,
  - American Indian/Alaskan Native,
  - White, Not of Hispanic Origin
- An "economically disadvantaged" student is a student who is a member of a household that meets the income eligibility
  guidelines for free or reduced-price meals (less than or equal to 185% of Federal Poverty Guidelines) under the
  National School Lunch Program.
- A "student with a disability," i.e., SwD, is a student who is considered eligible for the December 1 federal child count
  as reported by the district to the WDPI on the IDEA Federal Student Data Report (PI-2197) Wisconsin Administrative
  Code
- An English Language Learner is a student with limited English proficiency who scores at one of five limited English
  proficiency levels on a WDPI approved English proficiency assessment instrument, as defined in Wisconsin
  Administrative Rule PI 13. See <a href="www.legis.state.wi.us/rsb/code/pi/pi013.pdf">www.legis.state.wi.us/rsb/code/pi/pi013.pdf</a>

In addition, the proficiency rates for recently exited students (within two years) are included in the evaluation of two of the sub-groups, English Language Learners (ELP 6) and Students with Disabilities (under IDEA). The counts of these students are not displayed due to space limitations.

Complete information regarding federal and state accountability policies for Wisconsin public schools is available at <a href="mailto:dpi.wi.gov/oea/acct/index.html">dpi.wi.gov/oea/acct/index.html</a>.

### Test Participation:

Under NCLB, schools and districts are required to test at least 95% of students enrolled at the time of testing for all student groups that meet minimum cell size requirements. This may be met through either the current year or a two-year average. Test Participation is calculated by dividing the number of students tested in Reading or Mathematics by the total enrollment in the tested grades (3-8 and 10) at the time of testing and expressing the result as a percentage.

### Other Academic Indicator (Graduation or Attendance):

Schools and districts must also meet required criteria for the Other Academic Indicator, or show growth from the prior school year on that indicator, as follows:

- The indicator for schools and districts that graduate students is their overall high school graduation rate. To meet the graduation criterion, 85% or at least 2% growth over the prior school year must be met.
- Schools and districts that do <u>not</u> graduate students use overall attendance rate as their indicator. These schools and districts must have an attendance rate of at least 85% or show growth over the prior year.

### Reading and Mathematics Achievement:

All Wisconsin schools and districts must meet Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) for Reading and Mathematics as defied in the state's accountability plan. The current AMO for Reading is a Proficiency Index of 80.5% and the AMO for Mathematics is a Proficiency Index of 68.5%. A schedule of required AMOs for Reading and Mathematics from 2002-2014 can be found on the DPI website at <a href="mailto:dpi.wi.gov/oea/acct/ayp.html">dpi.wi.gov/oea/acct/ayp.html</a>.

The AMOs are met using results from the WSAS (WKCE and WAA-SwD). For both Reading and Mathematics, a school or district's Proficiency Index is calculated as follows, based upon numbers of FAY students tested and Wisconsin's four categories of achievement (Minimal Performance, Basic, Proficient, and Advanced):

(number of FAY Proficient or Advanced x 1.0) + (number of FAY Basic x 0.5) = Proficiency Index number of FAY students tested

For a school which tested 200 FAY students and had 120 students score Proficient or Advanced, 60 Basic, and 20 Minimal Performance, the Proficiency Index would be:

$$\frac{(120\times1.0)+(60\times0.5)}{200}=75\%$$

A school or district may meet the Proficiency Index using either its current year or its two-year average.

Students with disabilities rated as Proficient or Advanced on the alternate assessment pre-requisite skills are included as Proficient for AYP purposes. At the district level, however, only 1% of all students enrolled in tested grades that took the alternate assessment for students with disabilities (WAA-SwD) and scored Proficient or Advanced may be counted as Proficient for AYP purposes unless an exemption is documented and approved by DPI. Schools are <u>not</u> subject to the 1% limitation.

For schools and districts that miss the AMOs in Reading and Mathematics, a 99% confidence interval (CI) is applied to reduce the possibility that the AMO miss is due to chance. A designation of "Yes-CI" on the Annual Review sheet indicates that the school or district that missed the AMO in Reading and/or Mathematics has a Proficiency Index that falls within the range specified by the 99% confidence interval.

Schools and districts that do not meet AMO requirements for Reading and Mathematics through their Proficiency Index or a 99% confidence interval may also do so through the Safe Harbor provision. Safe Harbor is a two-step process, both of which must be met:

When the AMO is missed, there is another possible way to meet AYP call Safe Harbor.

### Safe Harbor Step 1:

If the percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced has increased from the prior year, schools and districts must show a 10% reduction in the percent below proficient from the prior year to the current year in either

- a) their percentage of non-proficient students (those scoring in the Minimal Performance/Basic categories); or
- b) the inverse of its Proficiency Index (100% minus the Proficiency Index).

The purpose of Safe Harbor *Step 1* is to give credit for increasing the number of students moving from Minimal Performance to Basic while ensuring that there has not been a decrease in the percentage of students scoring at or above the Proficient level.

An example of a school that satisfies requirements for Safe Harbor Step 1(a) (a 10% reduction in non-proficient students) can be illustrated using the hypothetical example of a school that tested 200 FAY students in both the current year and prior year with the following distribution of students across proficiency categories:

\* Current year: 120 Proficient + Advanced, 40 Basic, and 40 Minimal Performance.

Prior year: 100 Proficient + Advanced, 40 Basic, and 60 Minimal Performance

This school has achieved a 20% reduction in percent non-proficient students (100 divided by 200 in the prior year = 0.50 compared with 80 divided by 200 in the current year = 0.40):

$$\frac{(0.50-0.40)}{0.50} = 20\%$$
 reduction

An example of a school that tested 200 FAY students in two consecutive years and *did not* satisfy requirements for Safe Harbor  $Step\ I(a)$  - a 10% reduction in non-proficient students - but *did* meet requirements for Safe Harbor  $Step\ I(b)$  - a 10% reduction in the inverse of its Proficiency Index - can be illustrated with the following *example*:

Current year: 102 Proficient + Advanced, 80 Basic, and 18 Minimal Performance

\* Prior year: 100 Proficient + Advanced, 50 Basic, and 50 Minimal Performance

This school has not met requirements for Safe Harbor  $Step\ 1$ (a) by demonstrating a 10% reduction in non-proficient students (100 in the prior year compared to 98 in the current year, for a reduction of only 2%). It has, however, met  $Step\ 1$ (b) by reducing the inverse of its Proficiency Index by 22.7% from the current year (0.29) compared to the prior year (0.375):

Current Year Inverse of Proficiency Index:

$$1.0 - \left\lceil \frac{(102 \times 1.0) + (80 \times 0.5)}{200} \right\rceil = 1.0 - 0.71 = 0.29$$

Prior Year Inverse of Proficiency Index:

$$1.0 - \left[ \frac{(100 \times 1.0) + (50 \times 0.5)}{200} \right] = 1.0 - 0.625 = 0.375$$

Reduction in Inverse of Proficiency Index:  $\left[\frac{(0.375 - 0.29)}{0.375}\right] = 22.7\%$ 

Both forms of Safe Harbor *Step 1* employ a 75% confidence interval around the percentage reduction calculation to increase decision reliability. The confidence interval is used in Safe Harbor *Step 1* only when the percentage of students scoring Proficient or Advanced has increased from the prior year

### Safe Harbor Step 2:

If a school satisfies criteria for *Step 1*, it must then also meet a Step 2 criterion, which is based on achieving the *Other Academic Indicator* (Graduation or Attendance) criteria or growth. Science proficiency is evaluated for Step 2 when disaggregated data for the *Other Academic Indicator* is not available.

The Step 2 criterion is a graduation rate of 85% for schools and districts that graduate students or an attendance rate of 85% for all other schools and districts; or demonstrating growth over the prior year rate. This criteria is used for all students and subgroups when disaggregated graduation and attendance data is available. Attendance and graduation fully disaggregated by student subgroup became available for AYP calculations in SY2008-09 and subsequently are now generally available for Safe Harbor Step 2.

ayp\_explanatory11.docx 5-10-11

### 2010-11 Adequate Yearly Progress Reconsideration Criteria

Districts with schools that miss Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) have until June 24, 2011, to request that their preliminary AYP determination be reconsidered. Requests for reconsideration must be related to one of the following issues:

### 1. Medical Emergencies:

The United States Department of Education guidance allows a school or district to excuse a student from the test participation requirement if that student had a significant medical emergency. A "significant medical emergency" is a significant health impairment that renders the student incapable of participating in <u>any</u> academic activities, including state assessments, for the <u>entire</u> testing window. Examples might include hospitalization for a life-threatening condition, or a serious accident involving extensive rehabilitation. Documentation must be provided that shows both of the following:

A. "not tested" student(s) met this requirement; and

### Evidence to submit:

- a copy of the school record sheet showing that the student was listed and not tested;
- · absence records showing that this student missed all of the testing window; and
- records indicating a significant medical emergency preventing the student from participating in both testing and any academic activities.
- B. a recalculation of the related student subgroup(s), with the student(s) removed from the denominator, results in meeting the 95% Test Participation objective.
  - Example 1: a subgroup of 40 missed the Test Participation objective; when the designated student is removed, the subgroup is now 39, which is below the required cell size for accountability purposes.
  - **Example 2:** 41 students are in the subgroup and 38 were tested resulting in 38/41 = 92.7%; when the designated student is removed, the test participation results in 38/40 = 95%.

### 2. Data Errors:

Opportunities for correcting data existed prior to testing in the fall during the entry of student data in the Wisconsin Student Locator System (WSLS) and Individual Student Enrollment System (ISES). In addition, data corrections were permitted by the testing vendor using the On-Line Record Editing System (RES). Evidence of additional data errors may be submitted to the DPI, providing those errors will result in a change of preliminary AYP status. Examples of possible data errors and required evidence include:

A. Test booklet returned for a student who was no longer enrolled in the school at the time of testing, resulting in the student being counted as "not tested."

### Evidence to submit:

- a copy of the school record sheet showing that the student was listed and not tested; and
- a screenshot of the student's record showing the date that the student transferred or withdrew.
- B. Incorrect coding of a student as Full Academic Year (FAY), when the student was not continuously enrolled for the preceding 9.25 months, resulting in the student's scores being calculated into the Reading or Mathematics FAY proficiency determination.

### Evidence to submit:

- a copy of the school record sheet showing that the student scored minimal or basic on either the WKCE, or WAA-SwD;
- a screenshot of the student's record showing the date of enrollment is within the district's current academic year calendar, or that the student was not continuously enrolled for 9.25 academic months prior to the testing window; and
- evidence that a recalculation of the AYP determination results in the subgroup(s) meeting AYP.

- C. Incorrect coding of a student's demographic information, resulting in the related subgroup(s) missing either the Test Participation, Reading, or Mathematics proficiency objectives. Examples include:
  - **Example 1**: Evidence that a student should have been either included or removed from the Economically Disadvantaged subgroup, as a result of a change in their free/reduced lunch eligibility status between the pre-ID label creation and the actual testing window.

### Evidence to submit:

- a copy of the original pre-ID coding information indicating that the student was (or was not) counted as Economically Disadvantaged;
- a copy of free/reduced lunch status after that date showing that the student's status changed prior to the testing window;
- a copy of the school record sheet showing that the student was either not tested, or scored minimal or basic on either the WKCE or WAA-SwD; and
- evidence that a recalculation of the subgroup(s) with the student deleted (or added) results in meeting AYP for that objective.

Example 2: Incorrect coding of a student's grade level, ethnic group, status as an English Language Learner, or status as a Student with Disabilities.

### Evidence to submit:

- a copy of the school record sheet showing that the student was either not tested, or scored minimal or basic on either the WKCE or WAA-SwD;
- a copy of the original pre-ID coding information indicating the ethnic group for this student at the time of testing;
- · a screenshot of the student's official record indicating a different ethnic designation; and
- · evidence that a recalculation of both ethnic groups results in meeting AYP for that objective.
- D. Incorrect coding of students who graduated with an HSED but also received a high school diploma granted by the school board under s.118.30 (1) (a) or (d)(Wis. Statutes). These students should be coded in the Individual Student Enrollment System (ISES) as credential type (R) Regular High School Diploma.

### Evidence to submit:

- ISES: High School Completion Report showing the Total # of Students who were expected to complete high school; Students Not Completing High School in the standard number of years; and the # and % of Students Completing High School.
- The school should work with the ISES Administrator appointed by the district to identify students Not Completing High School in the standard number of years and verify that they received valid, appropriate exit codes.
- The principal and ISES Administrator should provide a signed statement certifying that the students in question (X, Y, Z), actually qualify for and were issued the credit based, Regular High Diploma under s. 118.30(1)(a) or (d) (Wis. Statutes) by the school board, and that their previously certification was in error. Further that the corrected exit codes will be updated in ISES.

Note: Continuing students change cohort groups and are carried in ISES through the year they turn 21. Definitions and information are available at www.dpi.wi.gov/lbstat/isescalc.html#max\_age\_year www.dpi.wi.gov/lbstat/eseamap.html#graduation and www.dpi.wi.gov/lbstat/datahsc.html

E. In the rare event that a school serves as a center for students with significant cognitive disabilities where a high concentration of the of the students have significant cognitive disabilities, the department, under a reconsideration request will review evidence to determine if the number of students with significant cognitive disabilities are so severe that they would not be able to perform any part of a skill or demonstrate knowledge on the WAA-SwD in the content areas of Reading, Mathematics, Language Arts, Social Studies and Science without full physical prompting in a highly structured setting. Further, it would be highly unlikely that the

knowledge and skills required by the WAA-SwD would develop in time even if these students are provided effective instruction. As a result, IEP goals and objectives for these students do not pertain to the knowledge and skills assessed on the WAA-SwD.

If the department determines that the school serves as a center with a high concentration of students with such severe cognitive disabilities, and that there are students who meet this definition, the scores for these students could be excluded from the AYP calculations for the students with disabilities sub-group.

### Evidence to submit:

For each student meeting the above definition of significant cognitive disability provide the following:

- Grade level
- Gender
- Race/ethnicity
- ELL status
- Free/reduced lunch eligibility status
- School FAY status
- District FAY status
- WAA-SwD performance level (minimal prerequisite skill)

The school or district should submit this evidence with a letter of certification signed by both the Director of Special Education and the District Assessment Coordinator, and a recalculation of the Reading or Mathematics Proficiency Rate or Proficiency Index that results in meeting the *Annual Measurable Objective* criteria

F. Other data errors. Evidence of other data errors may be submitted. Please contact the DPI prior to submitting other data error evidence, to clarify the types of evidence that would be required around the potential issue.

Note: All data errors corrected through Reconsideration only affects AYP calculations. The graduation, attendance, and proficiency rate data displayed on WINSS do <u>not</u> change as a result of reconsideration updates. Each data collection underwent quality assurance certification by the district with deadlines prior to posting to WINSS.

Questions about requests for AYP reconsideration may be directed to the following DPI staff of the Office of Educational Accountability:

Susan Ketchum, Consultant, at (608) 267-0425 or susan.ketchum@dpi.wi.gov Philip Cranley, Consultant, at (608) 266-9798 or philip.cranley@dpi.wi.gov Lynette Russell, Director, at (608) 267-1072 or lynette.russell@dpi.wi.gov Philip Olsen, Assistant Director, at (608) 266-8779 or philip.olsen@dpi.wi.gov

Requests for reconsideration must be submitted by the district, and received by the DPI no later than 4:00 p.m. on Friday, June 24, 2011.

MAIL: Palmer Bell
Office of Educational Accountability
Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction
125 South Webster Street, P.O. Box 7841
Madison, WI 53707-7841

FAX: (608) 266-8770

DISTRICT	SCHOOL.	Participation AYP	Other Academic Indicator AYP	Reading AYP	Mathematics AYP	Paticipator	Other Indicas	or Reading	Matternatics	AYP	Improvement Status		Mailing
Madison Metropolitan		Yes	Yes	No	No	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Level 1	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory		Pink
Madison Metropolitan	Cherokee Heights Mid	Yes	Yes	No	No	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Level 2	Satisfactory	No	Level 2	Not Title I	Pink
Madison Metropolitan	East Hi	No	No	No	No	Level 2	Satisfactory	Level 5	Level 5	No	Level 5	Not Title I	Pink
Madison Metropolitan	Falk El	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	Title I- SwP	Yellow
Madison Metropolitan	Glendale El	Yes	Yes	No	No	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	Title I- SwP	Yellow
Madison Metropolitan	Huegel El	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Tille I- SwP	Blue
Madison Metropolitan	James Wright Mid	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	Not Title I	Yellow
Madison Metropolitan	Jefferson Mid	Yes	Yes	No	No	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory		Yellow
Madison Metropolitan	LaFollette Hi	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Level 3 Improved	Level 3	No	Level 3	Not Title I	Pink
Madison Metropolitan	Leopold El	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Level 2	Satisfactory	No	Level 2	Title I- SwP	Pink
Madison Metropolitan	Lincoln El	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Yes	Satisfactory	Title I- SwP	Green
Madison Metropolitan	Memorial Hi	Yes	Yes		Yes	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Level 1	Satisfactory	No	Level 1	Not Title I	Pink
	O'Keeffe Mid	Yes		Yes		Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	No		Not	Yellow
······································	SAPAR Program Hi		No	_	Yes	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	Not	Yellow
Madison Metropolitan	Sennett Mid	Yes		No		Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	No	Satisfactory	Not Title I	Yellow
	Sherman Mid			Yes		Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	No		Not	Yellow
Madison Metropolitan	Toki Mid	Yes		No		Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Level 3	Satisfactory	No		Not	Pink
<u> </u>	West Hi	Yes		No		Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory		No		Not Title I	Yellow
Madison Metropolitan	Whitehorse Mid	Yes		No			Satisfactory	Satisfactory		No		Not	Yellow

.