# Fall Budget Assumption Update 

## September 27, 2010

## I. Introduction

A. Fall Budget Assumption Update - Provide the Board of Education with an overview of changes in 2010-11 budget assumptions.
B. Erik Kass, Assistant Superintendent for Business Services
C. Background information - On June 1,2010 the Board of Education was presented and approved the 2010-11 Amended Preliminary budget. At that time the budget was built on our best assumptions known to us in the spring. Since the approval in spring the district has received additional information that will have a projected impact on the final 2010-11 budget. These factors include: Fund Balance, Equalized Property Value, Equalization Aid, Categorical Aid and Membership.

As a part of the fall budget process the above factors along with the following will be adjusted to reflect the expenditures and revenues more accurately:

- Finalized Salary and Fringe
- Short-Term Borrowing Interest
- Interest Earnings

The district has also received numerous grants, donations, and spending authority approval by the Board of Education since June.
D. Describe the action requested of the BOE - This is strictly an update of assumptions and projections for the Board of Education in preparation for the Fall Budget and Tax Levy Adoption.

## II. Summary of Current Information

A. Provide a brief synthesis of the topic - Attached is a brief summary of each assumption category in which additional information has been received and will have a budget impact. The categories are: Categorical Aid, Equalization Aid, Equalized Property Value, Fund Balance, Membership, Short Term Borrowing, and Technical Services budget.
B. Clearly label any recommendations - This is strictly an update of assumptions and projections for the Board of Education in preparation for the Fall Budget and Tax Levy Adoption.
C. Link each element summarized to supporting detail - All attachments are referenced in their category summaries.

## III. Implications

A. Budget - The identified factors above will impact the district's projections for both State Equalization Aid and Revenue Limit.
B. Strategic Plan - This update provides information regarding the District budget which provides resources for the Strategic Plan and District goals.
C. Equity Plan - None
D. Implications for other aspects of the organization - None

## IV. Supporting documentation-

- Fall Budget Assumptions Update September 27, 2010.
- 2010-11 Budget Update Tracking Table


## MADISON METROPOLITAN SCHOOL DISTRICT

# 2010-11 <br> Fall Budget Assumptions Update 

September 27, 2010

## Categorical Aid

Categorical aid is aid which is intended to finance or reimburse some specific category of instructional or supporting program or to aid a particular target group of pupils. The structure of state categorical funding resembles the federal schema: (1) formula driven (entitlement), which is automatically granted based on the number of pupils meeting a specific criterion and/or for costs devoted to a specific function; and (2) grant-driven (competitive), which requires application, proof of eligibility, and need. Examples of state entitlement categorical aid programs are Special Education Aid, Common School Fund, Bilingual Aid, and SAGE Aid.

It is anticipated that the Special Education Categorical Aid will decrease by $\$ 442,501$ from the 2010-11 Spring Amended Preliminary budget.

## Equalization Aid

Wisconsin State Equalization Aid is general financial assistance to public school districts for use in funding a broad range of school district operational expenditures. The general aid flows through the three tiered (primary, secondary and tertiary) state equalization formula. The equalization formula is a cost sharing formula - the state and local district each contribute a portion to fund the total cost. The district's percentage is determined by the percentage the district value per member is of the guaranteed valuation per member. The district then assumes that percentage of cost at that tier, with Equalization Aid making up the difference. The same methodology is used then at the secondary and tertiary tiers, but each tier uses different numbers in its calculation. If a district's value per member exceeds the state guarantee at the secondary or tertiary tiers, negative aid is generated which can reduce or in some cases eliminate a district's positive aid from another tier. The basic premise of an equalization formula is that as district wealth increases, fiscal support of the educational expenditures shift from the state to the local property tax levy. Per Wisconsin State Statutes the district's reduction in aid can not equate to more than a $15 \%$ reduction from one year to the next.

The district received $\$ 51,169,349$ in Equalization Aid in 2009-10. The 2010-11 Spring Amended Preliminary budget projected the district's Equalization Aid to be $\$ 43,761,095$. On July 1 the district received an Equalization Aid projection from the Department of Public Instruction for $\$ 45,330,641$. This equates to a projected increase in aid of $\$ 1,569,546$ from the 2010-11 Spring Amended Preliminary budget.

On October 15 the district will receive an updated and certified Equalization Aid calculation for the current fiscal year from the Department of Public Instruction.

## Three-Tiered General Aid (Equalization) Formula

The charts below show a simplified version of how the state computed Madison Metropolitan School District's equalization aid for 2010-11 per the July 1 estimate received.

## Primary Aid (Tier 1) Computation:

The state equalization aid for the first $\$ 1,000$ of a student's cost is computed by using the district's 2009-10 property value per student of $\$ 916,182$ and the state primary guarantee of $\$ 1,930,000$ property value per student. The following is the district's primary aid computation:

|  | Property <br> Value | Percent of <br> Guarantee | Primary Cost | Primary Cost <br> Contribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MMSD <br> Contribution | $\$ 916,182$ | $47.47 \%$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 474.70$ |
| State <br> Contribution | $\$ 1,031,818$ | $52.53 \%$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 25.30$ |
| Total | $\$ 1,930,000$ | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,000$ | $\$ 1,000.00$ |

MMSD has $\$ 916,182$ (47.47\%) of the state primary guarantee of $\$ 1,930,000$, so the district is required to pay $47.47 \%$ ( $\$ 474.70$ ) of the primary cost. The state contributes the rest ( $52.53 \%$ ) of the $\$ 1,000(\$ 525.30)$.

## Secondary Aid (Tier 2) Computation:

The state equalization aid for the next $\$ 8,491$ of a student's cost is computed by using the district's 2009-10 property value per student of $\$ 916,182$ and the state secondary guarantee of $\$ 1,207,602$ property value per student. The following is the district's secondary aid computation:

|  | Property <br> Value | Percent of <br> Guarantee | Secondary <br> Cost | Secondary <br> Cost <br> Contribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MMSD <br> Contribution | $\$ 916,182$ | $75.87 \%$ | $\$ 8,491$ | $\$ 8,441.94$ |
| State <br> Contribution | $\$ 291,420$ | $24.13 \%$ | $\$ 8,491$ | $\$ 2,049.06$ |
| Total | $\$ 1,207,602$ | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 8,491$ | $\$ 8,491.00$ |

MMSD has $\$ 916,182$ (75.87\%) of the state secondary guarantee of $\$ 1,207,602$, so the district is required to pay $75.87 \%(\$ 6,441.94)$ of the secondary cost. The state contributes the rest (24.13\%) of the $\$ 8,491$ ( $\$ 2,049.06$ ).

## Tertiary Aid (Tier 3) Computation

The state equalization aid for any spending above $\$ 9,491$ ( $\$ 1,000$ Tier 1 plus $\$ 8,491$ Tier 2) of a student's cost is computed by using the district's 2009-10 property value per student of $\$ 916,182$ and the state's tertiary guarantee of $\$ 581,115$ property value per student. The following is the district's tertiary aid computation.

|  | Property <br> Value | Percent of <br> Guarantee | Tertiary Cost | Tertiary Cost <br> Contribution |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| MMSD <br> Contribution | $\$ 916,182$ | $157.66 \%$ | $\$ 1,587$ | $\$ 2,502.05$ |
| State <br> Contribution | $\$(348,953)$ | $-57.66 \%$ | $\$ 1,587$ | $\$(915.05)$ |
| Total | $\$ 581,115$ | $100.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,587$ | $\$ 1,587.00$ |

MMSD has $\$ 931,541$ ( $159.90 \%$ ) of the state tertiary guarantee of $\$ 582,588$ property value, so the district is required to "pay back" to the state the negative amount of aid shown on the chart of $\$ 945.84$ per student.

## Total Equalization Aid

In summary, July 1 estimated 2010-11 state equalization aid per student for MMSD is:
Primary state aid per student \$525.30
Secondary state aid per student 2,049.06
Tertiary state aid per student (915.05)
Total Equalization aid per student 1,659.31
Special Adj/CH 220/Integration Aid $\quad 129.59$
Adjusted Equalization aid per student $1,788.90$
Please find the July 1, 2010 Equalization Aid estimate calculated by the Department of Public Instruction following this document.

## WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION JULY 1 2010-11 GENERAL AID

## USING 09-10 MEMBS, 2009 SCHOOL. AID (MAY 10 CERT) VALUES, $09-10$ BUDGET DATA

Madison Metropolitan 3269

## PART A: 2009-10 AUDITED MEMBERSHIP

A1 3RD FRI SEPT 09 MEMBERSHIP* (includes Youth Challenge)
A2 2 ND FRI JAN 10 MEMEERSHP* (includes Youth Challenge)
A3 TOTAL (A1 + A2)
A4 AVERAGE (A3/2) (ROUNDED)
A5 SUMMER 09 FTE EQUIVALENT*

A7 AID MEMBERSHIP (A4 + A5 + A6)

* Cn 220 Resident Inter FTE counts only $75 \%$

PART B: 2009-10 GENERAL FUND DEDUCTIBLE RECEIPTS-BUDGET
81 TOTAL REVENUE \& TRNSF IN B2 PROP TAX + COMPUTER AID B3 GENERAL STATE AID B4 NON-DED IMPACT AID B5 REORG SETTLEMENT E6 LONG TERM OP BORR, NOTE B7 LONG TERM OP BORR, STF B8 PROPERTY TAXIEQUAL AID REFUND B9 DEDUCTIBLE RECEIPTS

10R 000000000 10R $210+691$ 10R $000000620+718$ (DPI ESTIMATE) 10R 000000850 10R 000000873 10R 000000874 10R 000000 972 (TOLINE C6)

FTE
24,854,00
24,811,00
49,665.00
24,833.00

1.00

## $25,340.00$

## 303,370,748.00

 222,246,204,00 51,169,349.000.00
0.00
0.00
0.00
0.00

29,955,195.00
PART C: 2009-10 NET COST OF GENERAL FUND-BUDGET C1 TOTAL GF EXPENDITURES C2 DEBT SRVC TRANSFER C3 REORG SETTLEMENT C4 REFUND PRIOR YEAR REV C5 GROSS COST GEN FUND C6 DEDUCTIBLE RECEIPTS C7 OPERATIONAL DEBT, INTEREST C8 NET COST GENERAL. FUND

10E 000000000
305,994,808.00 $3,015,322.00$ 10E $411000838+839$ 10E 491000950 10E 492000972 (C1-C2-C3-C4) (FROM LINE B9) 38E+39E 283000680 (NOTLESS THAN O)

PART D: 2009-10 NET COST OF DEBT SERVICE FUNDS.BUDGET D1 TOTAL REVENUE \& TRNSF IN
D2 TRNSF FROM GEN FUND
D3 PROPERTY TAXES
D4 PAYMENT IN LIEU OF TAX DS NON-REV RECEIPTS D6 DEDUCTIBLE RECEIPTS D7 TOTAL EXPENDITURES D8 AIDABLE FUND 41 EXP D9 REFINANCING
D10 OPERATIONAL DEET PAYMENT D11 NET COST DEBT SERVICE FUNDS
$38 R+39 R 000$ 10E 411000838 $38 \mathrm{R}+39 \mathrm{R} 210$ $38 R+39 R 220$
$38 R+39 R 800$ (D1-D2-D3-D4-D5) $38 E+39 E 000$ (DPI ESTIMATE) $38 \mathrm{E}+39 \mathrm{E} 282000$ $38 E+39 E 283000$

20,570,572.00
3,015,322.00
$65,250.00$
17,490,000.00
0.00

21,901,501.00
3,754,599.00
17,490,000.00
0.00

B,156,100.00

PART E: 2009-10 SHARED COST-BUDGET
SHARED COST PER MEMEER $=\$ 11,078$
E1 NET COSTS: GEN + DEBT SERV FUNDS (C8 + D11) E2 COSTS OF LAWSUIT ANDIOR INDIGENT TRANSPORTATION
E3 MMPACT AID NON-DEDUCTIBLE
E4 TOTAL SHARED COST FOR EQUALIZATION AID
GUARANTEES FOR FINAL ELIGIBILITY:

| SECONDARY (G6) | $1,207,602$ | $3,622,806$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| TERTIARY (G11) | 581,115 | $1,743,345$ |


| PART E: 2009-10 SHARED COST - CONTINUED | $E 5=$ | $280,721,523.00$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| E6 PRIMARY COST CEELLING PER MEMB $(\$ 1,000)$ | 1,000 |  |

E7 PRIMARY CEILING (A7* E6)
E8 PRIMARY SHARED COST (LESSER OF E5 OR E7)
25,340,000
$25,340,000.00$
( $\$ 1491$
240,501,940
11 SECONDARY SHARED COST
E 12 TERTIARY SHARED COST
$40,219,583.00$
PARTF: EQUALIZED PROPERTY VALUE
F1 2009 SOH AD (MAY PROPERT VALUE
VALUE PER MEMBER =
23,216,048,005
PART G: 2010-11 EQUAL AID BY TIER - BUDGET
G1 PRIMARY GUARANTEED VALUE PER MEMBER
G2 PRIMARY GUARANTEED VALUATION (A7 * G1)
G3 PRIMARY REQUIRED RATE (E8/G2)
G4 PRIMARY NET GUARANTEED VALUE (G2 - F1)
G5 PRIMARY EQUALIZATION AID (G3 * G4) (NOT LESS THAN 0)
1,06,200,000

G6 SECONDARY GUARANTEED VALUE PER MEMB
G7 SECONDARY GUARANTEED VALUATION (A7 * G6)
G8 SECONDARY REQURED RATE (E11/G7)
G9 SECONDARY NET GUARANTEED VALUE ( G 7 - F1)
G10 SECONDARY EQUALIZATION AID (G8 * G9)
G11 TERTIARY GUARANTEED VALUE PER MEMB
302,510,618.00 G12 TERTIARY GUARANTEED VALUATION (A7 * G11)
G13 TERTIARY REQUIRED RATE (E12 / G12)
G14 TERTIARY NET GUARANTEED VALUE (G12 - F1)
G15 TERTIARY EQUALIZATION AID (G13 * G14)
30,600,634,680
0.00703129
$7,384,586,675$
$51,923,170,44$
581,115
14,725,454,100
0.0027313
$-8,490,593,905$
$-23,190,359.13$
PART H: 2010-11 JULY 1 ESTIMATED EQUALIZATION AID
H1 10-11 EQUALIZATION AID ELIG (G5+G10+G15) NOT<0 42,043,650,00
H2 MLWKPAR OHOICE EO (JUST MLL WAUKEE)

11 部
12 A
12 B.
12 C

13 10-11 SPADJ/220 JULY 1 ESTIMATE (ROUND) $(11+12 A+12 B+12 C)$
0.00
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { H2A PAYMENT TO MLLWAUKEE SD FROM CITY OF MILW } & 0.00 \\ \text { H3 MLWK CHARTER PGM, EQ (H1* -.0120278815) }\end{array}$
H4 09-10 OCT/FINAL EQUAL AID ADJ
5,271.00
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { H5 PRIOR YEAR DATA ERROR ADJUSTMENT } & 0 \\ H 610-11 \text { EQ AID JULY } 1 \text { ESTIMATE (ROUND) }(\mathrm{H} 1+\mathrm{H} 2+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{H} 3+\mathrm{H} 4+\mathrm{H} 5) & 41,543,225\end{array}$

THIS IS THE JULY 1 GENERAL AID ESTIMATE FOR THE 2010-11 FISCAL YEAR. DISTRICTS ARE REMINDED THAT THE OCTOBER 15 GENERAL AID CERTIFICATION
JMPUTATIONAL DETAILS EXPLAINING THE NUMBER FOUND IN LINE II, IF GREATER TH/' AN BE FOUND ON THE "BREAKDOWN OF LINE II" TAB IN THIS WORKBOOK. COLOR-CODING WILL ASSIST DISTRICTS IN IDENTIFYING WHICH AMOUNTS ON PAGE GE SUMMED TO ARRIVE AT THE NUMBERS APPEARING ON THIS PAGE.

## Equalized Property Value

Property value is the dollar value placed on land and buildings for purposes of administering property taxes. Equalized valuation is the assessed valuation multiplied by an adjustment factor computed by the Wisconsin Department of Revenue for each type of property in each taxing district, designed to cause each type of property to have comparable value regardless of local assessment practices. The objective is to have equalized valuation reflect the fair market value of each piece of property. Equalized valuation may either include or exclude a TIF increment. Property taxes on the TIF increment which would normally go to school districts, counties, Technical College districts, and others, instead go to the municipality which created the TIF district to help that municipality pay for the cost of urban renewal within the TIF district.

The equalized property value in $2009-10$ was $\$ 23,003,624,986$. The Cost to Continue budget was built with the assumption there would be no change to the values. During the Amended Spring budget process new projections for the City of Madison values came in and the value was reduced by $2.76 \%$ to $\$ 22,368,767,567$. Further updates from the Department of Revenue were released in the beginning of August. The updated numbers equate to a decrease in value of $3.74 \%$ from the $2009-10$ values to $\$ 22,143,498,844$.

Please find a Tax Levy Comparison following this document from the June $1^{\text {st }}$ Preliminary Amended Budget Adoption and the Updated August 20, 2010.

|  | 2003－04 | 2004－05 | 2005－06 | 2006－07 | 2007－08 | 2008－09 | 2009－10 | 2010－11 Amended Preliminary | $\begin{gathered} \text { Updated } 2010-11 \\ 4 / 17 / 10 \end{gathered}$ | 2008－10 vs． Updated 2010－11 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MUNICIPALITY | EQUALIZED VAL．UE | EQUALIZED VALLE | EQUALIZED VALUE | EQUALIZED VALUE | EQUALIZED VALUE | EQUALIZED VALUE | EQUALIZED VALUE | EQUALIZED VALUE | EQUALIZED VALUE | INCR／DECR | \％CHG |
| C．MADISON | \＄14，364，050，994 | 15，650，049，370 | 17，058，519，010 | 18，507，113，780 | 19，322，880，934 | 20，463，713，358 | 20，413，421，831 | 20，413，421，831 | 19，778，564，412 | \＄（634，857，418） | －3．10\％ |
| T．BLOOMING GROVE | 76，847，858 | 80，030，774 | 87，839，992 | 87， 414,560 | 100，360，651 | 100，106，726 | 99，476，250 | 99，476，250 | 98，476，250 |  | 0．00\％ |
| T．BURKE | 3，269，682 | 3，644，174 | 10，378，028 | 11，400，012 | $8,639,150$ | 8，910，081 | 8，471，460 | 8，471，460 | 8，471，480 | \＄－ | 0．00\％ |
| T．MADISON | 313，241，100 | 350，570，900 | 359，691，700 | 388，453，800 | 381，867，700 | 393，303，300 | 402，556，500 | 402，556，500 | 402，556，500 | \＄－ | 0．00\％ |
| T．MIDLETON | 414，552 | 499.102 | 502，700 | 536，940 | 577，949 | 573，814 | 585，868 | 585，808 | 585，868 | \＄－ | 0．00\％ |
| T．WESTPORT | 191，769 | 203，734 | 190，137 | 213，130 | 213，417 | 226，428 |  |  | － | \＄－ | 0．00\％ |
| V．MAPLE ELUFF | 271，428，500 | 309，975，500 | 334，418，000 | 378，114，500 | 388，565，200 | 394，201，200 | 385，742，300 | 385，742，300 | 385，742，300 | \＄ | 0．00\％ |
| V．SHOREWOOD HILLS | 339，457，500 | 369，385，900 | 403，707，800 | 423，248，900 | 484，563，400 | 510．566，600 | 488，844，300 | 498，844，300 | 498，844，300 | \＄－ | 0．00\％ |
| c．FITCHBURG | 742，144，428 | 835，749，973 | 956，787，294 | 1，084，568，958 | 1，170，369，984 | 1，209，237，915 | 1，193，805，403 | 1，193，805，403 | 1，193，805，403 |  | 0．00\％ |
| c．MONONA | 581，489 | 620，919 | 673，224 | 666，678 | 704，807 | 703，327 | 721，074 | 721，074 | 721,074 |  | 0．00\％ |
| total | 16，111，328，273 | 17，600，737，346 | 19，212，707，885 | S 20，862，231，258 | \＄21，858，743，192 | 23，081，542，749 | 23，003，624，986 | 23，003，624，986 | \＄22，368，767，587 | \＄（634，857，419） | －2．75\％ |
| Percent Change | 7．95\％ | 9．24\％ | 9．16\％ | 8．69\％ | 4．68\％ | 5．59\％ | －0．34\％ | 0．00\％ | －$-2.76 \%$ |  |  |
| （ | 484 |  | 23． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2） |
| MUNICIPALITY | PERCENT OF TOTAL | PERCENT OF TOTAL | PERCENT OF TOTAL | PERCENT OF TOTAL | PERCENT OF TOTAL | PERCENT OF TOTAL | PERCENT OF TOTAL | PERCENT OF TOTAL | PERCENT OF TOTAL | INCR／DECR | \％ CHG |
| C．MADISON | 89．154977\％ | 88，917010\％ | 88．787687\％ | 88．626132\％ | 88．398865\％ | 88．658343\％ | 88．740022\％ | 88．740022\％ | 88．420448\％ | －0．319574\％ | －0．36\％ |
| T．BLOOMING GROVE | 0．476880\％ | 0．454701\％ | 0．457197\％ | 0．421002\％ | 0．459133\％ | 0．433709\％ | 0．432437\％ | 0．432437\％ | 0．444710\％ | 0．012273\％ | 2．83\％ |
| T．burke | 0．020294\％ | 0．020688\％ | 0．054016\％ | 0．054592\％ | 0．039523\％ | 0．038603\％ | 0．036827\％ | 0．036827\％ | 0．037872\％ | 0．001045\％ | 2．74\％ |
| T．MADISON | 1．944229\％ | 1．991797\％ | 1，872155\％ | 1．860212\％ | 1．746979\％ | 1．703973\％ | 1．749970\％ | 1．749970\％ | 1．799636\％ | 0．048867\％ | 2．91\％ |
| T．MIDDLETON | 0．002573\％ | 0．002836\％ | 0．002615\％ | 0．002571\％ | 0．002644\％ | 0．002486\％ | 0．002547\％ | 0．002547\％ | 0．002619\％ | 0．000072\％ | 2．91\％ |
| T．WESTPORT | 0．001190\％ | 0．001158\％ | 0．000990\％ | 0．001021\％ | 0．000976\％ | 0，000981\％ | 0．000000\％ | 0．000000\％ | 0．000000\％ | 0．000000\％ | 0．00\％ |
| V．MAPLE ELUFF | 1．684708\％ | 4．761151\％ | 4．740608\％ | 1．810700\％ | 1．777619\％ | 1．707863\％ | 1．676876\％ | 1．676876\％ | 7．724468\％ | 0．047592\％ | 2．79\％ |
| V．SHOREWOOD HHLLS | 2．105087\％ | 2．098752\％ | 2．101254\％ | 2．026838\％ | 2．216794\％ | 2．212012\％ | 2．168546\％ | 2．168546\％ | 2．230093\％ | 0．061546\％ | 2．78\％ |
| c．Fitchaurg | 4．606352\％ | 4．748380\％ | 4，979971\％ | 5．193741\％ | 5．354242\％ | 5．238982\％ | 5．189640\％ | 5．189640\％ | 5．336930\％ | 0．147289\％ | 2．81\％ |
| C．MONONA | 0．003609\％ | 0．003528\％ | 0．003504\％ | 0．003193\％ | 0．003224\％ | 0．003047\％ | 0．003135\％ | 0．003435\％ | 0．003224\％ | 0．000089\％ | 2．92\％ |
| total | 100．000000\％ | 100，000000\％ | 100．000000\％ | 100．000000\％ | 100．000000\％ | 100．000000\％ | 100，000000\％ | 100．000000\％ | 100．000000\％ | 0．000000\％ | 0．00\％ |
|  | 栓家 |  |  | 18ax | － | － |  |  | 2kikuk |  |  |
| MUNICIPALITY | LEVY AMOUNT | L．EVYAMOUNT | LEVY AMOUNT | LEVY Amount | LEVY AMOUNT | LEVY AmOUNT | LEVY Amount | LEVY AMOUNT | LEVY AMOUNT． | WCR／DECR | \％CHG |
| C．MADISON | 174，856，035 | 180，009，302 | 177，897，900 | 785，411，255 | \＄194，734，288 | 200，660，681 | 207，865，483 | 219，841， 181 | 210，049，480 | 11，183，997 | 5．57\％ |
| T．BLOOMING GROVE | 936，017 | 920，526 | 916，055 | 880，761 | 1，011，426 | 981，615 | 1，012，945 | 1，071，304 | 1，401，709 | 88，764 | 9．04\％ |
| T．BuRKE | 39.825 | 41，881 | 108，229 | 144，210 | 87，065 | 87，369 | 88，263 | 91，233 | 93，822 | 7，559 | 8．65\％ |
| T．MADISON | 3，845，318 | 4，032，321 | 3，751．111 | 3，991．677 | 3，848，429 | 3，856，607 | 4，099，146 | 4，335，309 | 4，458，351 | 359，205 | 9．31\％ |
| T．MIDDEETON | 5，049 | 5，741 | 5，242 | 5，379 | 5，824 | 5，627 | 5，966 | 6，309 | 6.489 | 523 | 9，29\％ |
| T．WESTPORT | 2.336 | 2，343 | 4，983 | 2，435 | 2，151 | 2.220 | \＄－ | \＄ | \＄ | \＄－ | 0．00\％ |
| V．MAPLE BLUFF | 3，306，040 | 3，565，385 | 3，487，540 | 3，788，094 | 3，915，926 | 3，865，412 | 3，927，931 | 4，154，230 | 4，272，133 | 344，202 | 8．90\％ |
| V．SHOREWOOD MHLLS | 4，130，983 | 4，248，849 | 4，210，141 | 4，240，267 | 4，883，387 | 5．006，454 | 5，079，624 | 5，372，275 | 5，524，748 | 445，124 | 8．89\％ |
| c．Fitchburg | 8，039，417 | 9，612，926 | 9，978，032 | 10，885，621 | 11，794，885 | 11，857，403 | 12，156，264 | 12，856，619 | 13，221，508 | 1，065，245 | 8．98\％ |
| c．MONONA | 7，083 | 7，142 | 7，021 | 6，679 | 7，103 | 6，897 | 7，343 | 7，766 | 7，986 | 643 | 9．33\％ |
| total． | 196， 238,103 | 202，446，418 | 200，363，255 | 205，206，079 | 220，290，484 | 226，330，285 | 234，240，964 | 247，736，226 | 247，736，226 | \＄13，495，262 | 5．86\％ |
| Percent Change | 9．79\％ | 3．16\％ | －1．03\％ | 4．41\％ | $5.30 \%$ | 2．74\％ | 3．50\％ | 5．76\％ | 0．00\％ |  |  |
| 綡 |  |  |  | 緃 |  |  |  | 50， |  |  | 2＊＊＊ |
| total levy | 186，238，103 | 202，446，478 | 200，363，265 | 203， 206,079 | 220，280，484 | \＄226，330，286 | 234，240，964 | 247，736，226 | 247，736，226 |  |  |
| Percent Change | 9．79\％ | 3．16\％ | －1．03\％ | 4．41\％ | 5．30\％ | 2．74\％ | 3．50\％ | ${ }^{5.76 \%}$ | 0．00\％ |  |  |
| MIL RATE | 12.18 | 11.50 | 10.43 | 10.02 | 10.08 | 9.81 | 10.18 | 10.77 | ${ }^{11.08}$ |  |  |
| Percent Change | 1．70\％ | －5．57\％ | －9．33\％ | －3．93\％ | 0．59\％ | －2．70\％ | 3．85\％ | 5．76\％ | 2．84\％ |  |  |


|  | 2003-04 | 2004-05 | 2005-06 | 2006-07 | 2007-08 | 2008-09 | 2009-10 | Updated 2010-11 | 2009-10 vs. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 812012010 | Updated 2010-11 |  |
| MUNICIPALITY | EQUALIEED VALUE | EQUALIZED VALUE | EQUALIZED VALUE | EQUALIZED VALUE | EqUALIzED VALUE | EQUALIZED VALUE | EQUALIZED VALUE | EQUALIZED VALUE | INCR / DECR | \% CHG |
| C. MADISON | \$ 14,364,050,994 | 15,650,049,370 | 17,058,519,010 | 18,507,113,780 | 19,322,880,934 | 20,463,713,358 | 20,413,421,831 | 19,602,113,260 | \$ (811,308,571) | -3.96\% |
| T. BLOOMING GROVE | 76,847,858 | 80,030,774 | 87,839,992 | 87,914,560 | 100,360,651 | 100,106,726 | 99,476,250 | 101,066,324 | \$ $1,590,074$ | 1.59\% |
| T. BURKE | 3,269,682 | 3,641,174 | 10,378,028 | 11,400,012 | 8,639,150 | 8,910,081 | 8,471,460 | 8,004,867 | \$ $(466,593)$ | -5.24\% |
| T. MADISON | 313,241,100 | 350,570,900 | 359,691,700 | 388,453,800 | 381,867,700 | 393,303,300 | 402,556,500 | 389,589,292 | \$ $(12,967,208)$ | $-3.30 \%$ |
| T. MIDLETETON | 414,552 | 499,102 | 502,700 | 536,940 | 577,949 | 573,814 | 585,868 | 557,631 | \$ $\quad(28,237)$ | -4.82\% |
| T. WESTPORT | 191,769 | 203,734 | 190,137 | 213,130 | 213,417 | 226,428 | , | \$ - | \$ - | 0.00\% |
| V. MAPLE BLUFF | 271,428,500 | 309,975,500 | 334,448,000 | 378,114,500 | 388,565,200 | 394,201,200 | 385,742,300 | 368,887,600 | \$ (16,854,700) | -4.28\% |
| V. SHOREWOOD HHLLS | 338,157,500 | 369,395,900 | 403,707,800 | 423,248,900 | 484,563,400 | 510,566,600 | 498,844,300 | 505,382,657 | \$ 6,538,357 | 1.28\% |
| C. FITCHEURG | 742,144,429 | 835,749,973 | 956,787,294 | 1,084,568,958 | 1,170,369,984 | 1,209,237,915 | 1,193,805,403 | 1,167,176,721 | \$ $(26,628,682)$ | -2.20\% |
| C. MONONA | 581,489 | 620,919 | 673,224 | 668,678 | 1704,807 | 100,327 | 721,074 | 720,493 | (581) | -0.08\% |
| TOTAL | 16,111,328,273 | 17,600,737,346 | 19,212,707,886 | \$ 20,882,231,258 | \$ 21,858,743,192 | \$ 23,081,542,749 | \$ 23,003,624,988 | 22,143,498,844 | \$ $(860,126,142)$ | -3.73\% |
| Percent Change | 7.95\% | 9.24\% | 9.16\% | 8. $69 \%$ | 4,68\% | 5.59\% | -0.34\% | , $3.74 \%$ |  |  |
|  | 4 | , |  | W䊺 | W03 | W2\% |  |  |  | 52y |
| MUNICIPALITY | PERCENT OF TOTAL | PERCENT OF TOTAL | PERCENT OF TOTAL | PERCENT OF TOTAL | PERCENT OF TOTAL | PERCENT OF TOTAL | PERCENT Of TOTAL | PERCENT OF TOTAL | INCR/DECR | \% CHG |
| C. MADISON | 89.154977\% | 88.917010\% | 88.787687\% | 88.626132\% | 88.398865\% | 88.658343\% | 88.740022\% | $88.523107 \%$ | -0.216914\% | -0.24\% |
| T. BLOOMING GROVE | 0.476980\% | 0.454701\% | 0.457397\% | 0.421002\% | 0.459133\% | 0.433709\% | 0.432437\% | 0.456415\% | 0.023978\% | 5.53\% |
| T. BURKE | 0.020294\% | 0.020688\% | 0.054016\% | 0.054592\% | 0.039523\% | 0.038603\% | 0.036827\% | 0.036150\% | -0,000677\% | -1.75\% |
| T. MADISON | 1.944229\% | 1.991797\% | 1.872155\% | 1.860212\% | 1.746979\% | 1.703973\% | \$.749970\% | 1.759385\% | 0.009415\% | 0.55\% |
| T. MIDDLETON | 0.002573\% | 0.002835\% | 0.002616\% | 0.002571\% | 0.002844\% | 0.002486\% | 0.002547\% | 0.002518\% | -0.000029\% | -1.15\% |
| T. WESTPORT | 0.001190\% | 0.001158\% | 0.000980\% | 0,001021\% | 0.000976\% | 0.000981\% | 0.000000\% | 0,000000\% | 0.000000\% | 0.00\% |
| V. MAPLEE BLUFF | 1.684708\% | 1,761151\% | 1.740608\% | 1,810700\% | 1.777619\% | 1.707863\% | 1.676876\% | 1.665896\% | -0.010980\% | -0.64\% |
| V. SHOREWOOD HILLS | 2.105087\% | 2.098752\% | 2.101254\% | 2.026838\% | 2.216794\% | 2.212012\% | 2.168546\% | 2.282307\% | $0.113761 \%$ | 5.14\% |
| C. FITCHEURG | 4,606352\% | 4.748380\% | 4.979971\% | 5.783741\% | 5.354242\% | 5.238982\% | 5.189640\% | 5.270968\% | 0.081328\% | 1.55\% |
| C. MONONA | 0.003609\% | 0.003528\% | 0.003504\% | 0.003193\% | 0.003224\% | 0.003047\% | 0.003135\% | 0.003254\% | 0.000119\% | 3.91\% |
| total | 100.000000\% | 100.000000\% | 100.000000\% | 100.000000\% | 100,000000\% | 100.000000\% | 100.000000\% | 100.000000\% | 0.000000\% | 0.00\% |
|  |  | 3 |  | Madedus | YMraw |  |  | W, \% |  |  |
| MUNICIPALITY | Levy amount | LEvY AMOUNT | L.EvY AMOUNT | Levy amount | LEVY AMOUNT | Levy amount | Levy amount | LeVY Amount | INCR/ DECR | \% CHG |
| C. MADISON | 174,956,036 | \$ 180,009,302 | \$ 177,897,900 | 185,411,255 | \$ 194,734,288 | 200,660,681 | 207,865,483 | \$ 219,303,805 | 11,438,323 | 5.70\% |
| T. BLOOMING GROVE | 936,017 | 920,526 | 916,055 | 880,761 | 1,011,426 | 981,615 | 1,012,945 | \$ 1, 330,706 | 117,761 | 12.00\% |
| T. BURKE | 39,825 | 41,881 | 108,229 | 114,210 | 87,065 | 87,369 | 86,263 | 89,557 | 3,294 | 3.77\% |
| T. MADISON | 3,815,318 | 4,032,321 | 3,751,111 | 3,891,677 | \$ 3,848,429 | 3,856,607 | 4,099,148 | \$ 4,358,633 | 259,487 | 6.73\% |
| T. MDDLETON | 5,049 | 5,741 | 5,242 | 5,379 | \$ 5,824 | 5,627 | 5,966 | \$ 6,239 | 273 | 4.85\% |
| T. WESTPORT | 2,336 | 2,343 | 1,983 | 2,135 | \$ 2,151 | 2,220 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | 0.00\% |
| V. MAPLE BLUFF | 3,306,040 | 3,565,386 | 3,487,540 | 3,788,094 | \$ 3,915,926 | 3,865,412 | 3,927,931 | 4,127,027 | 199,096 | 5.15\% |
| V. SHOREWOOD HILLS | 4,130,983 | 4,248,849 | 4,210,141 | 4,240,267 | \$ 4,883,387 | 5,006,454 | 5,079,624 | \$ 5,654,102 | 574,477 | 11.47\% |
| C. FITCHBURG | 9,039,417 | 9,612,926 | 9,978,032 | 10,865,621 | 11,794,885 | 11,857,403 | 12,156,264 | \$ 13,058,097 | 901,833 | 7.61\% |
| c. MONONA | 7.083 | 7.142 | 7,021 | 6,679 | 7.103 | 6,897 | 7,343 | \$ 8,061 | 718 | 10.41\% |
| total | 196,238,103 | 202,446,418 | \$ 200,363,255 | 209,206,079 | 220,290,484 | 226,330,286 | 234,240,964 | 247,736,226 | 13,495,262 | 5.96\% |
| Percent Change | 9.79\% | 3.16\% | -1.03\% | 4.41\% | 5.30\% | 2.74\% | 3.50\% | 5.76\% |  |  |
| Wax | Waxa |  | 第 |  |  |  |  |  | Wa |  |
| TOTAL LEVY | 196,238,103 | \$ 202,446,418 | \$ 200,363,255 | 209,206,079 | \$ 220,290,484 | 226,330,285 | \$ 234,240,964 | \$ 247,736,226 |  |  |
| Percent Change | 9.79\% | 3.16\% | -1.03\% | 4.41\% | 5.30\% | 2.74\% | 3.50\% | 5.76\% |  |  |
| MLL RATE | 12.18 | 11.50 | 10.43 | 10.02 | 10.08 | 9.81 | 10.18 | 11.19 |  |  |
| Percent Change | 1.70\% | -5.57\% | -9.33\% | -3.93\% | 0.59\% | -2.70\% | 3.85\% | 9.87\% |  |  |

## Fund Balance

An adequate Fund Balance is necessary for the successful fiscal operation of the district. Maintaining a sufficient operating reserve allows the district to minimize short-term borrowing, reduce financing costs, and safeguard against unanticipated and unrealized revenues. The District's financial condition remains strong and maintains a MIG 1 rating by Moody's Investor Service.

On June 30, 2009 the District General Fund Balance was $\$ 35.3$ million. The 2009-10 was projected to utilize $\$ 2.6$ million of the District's General Fund Balance, but due to revenues exceeding expenditures in 2009-10 an additional un-audited $\$ 5.1$ million will be added to the District's General Fund Balance. The major areas making up this $\$ 5.1$ million are as follows:

- \$1,762,451 for additional 2007-08 School Based Services Medicaid Aid
- $\$ 1,786,093$ in utility savings for 2009-10
- \$ 674,253 for Roofing projects that will be carried over into 2010-11
- \$ 315,791 Food Service Interfund Transfer not needed \$4,538,588
The remainder of the $\$ 5.1$ was in numerous revenue and expenditure accounts.
Designated expenditure budgets are carried over every fiscal year. This occurs when special purpose funds are unspent and need to be expended in the following fiscal year. The projected carryover for $2009-10$ into $2010-11$ is $\$ 1,373,185.23$. This will impact the District's General and Capital Expansion Fund Balance as a reduction. The following summary table provides an outline of the projected carryover.

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Items from 2009-10 to be Carried over and used from 2010-11 Fund Balance | Budget Carryover <br> Request |
| Department/Project Specific Request | $465,825.00$ |
| School Based Student Fines | $8,489.70$ |
| Middle School Yearbook Balance | $17,199.75$ |
| Building Services | $674,253.00$ |
| Memorial High School Parking Lot/Site Fund | $46,709.00$ |
| School Site Formula Funds | $160,708.78$ |
| Total Carryover | $\mathbf{1 , 3 7 3 , 1 8 5 . 2 3}$ |

The Board of Education also designated $\$ 778,058.87$ of General Fund Balance during the $2010-11$ budget process. This is outlined in the following table:

| Items Approved by the Board of Education during the Budget Process to be from 2010-11 Fund Equity | Budget Carryover Request |
| :---: | :---: |
| Public Information Office Cover $25 \%$ of total Budget | 307,095.00 |
| Shorewood TIF Defeasement | 99,677.00 |
| Reorganization Positions Ending in 2nd Year |  |
| Media Services | 122,116.64 |
| Expulsion Coordinator | 99,170.23 |
| Ready, Set, Goal | 150,000.00 |
| Total Carryover | 778,058.87 |

Attached please find a copy of the District's most recent equity statement.

## TOTAL OPERATING EQUITY

- Reserve for Inventories
- Reserve for Community Service
- Reserve for Food Service Inventories
- Reserve for Debt Service (includes Fund 38)

DESIGNATED FOR CASH FLOW
General Fund
Special Revenue Trust Fund
Debt Service Fund (includes Fund 38)
Capital Expansion Fund
Food Service Fund
Community Services Fund

## TOTAL FUND EQUITY

DESIGNATED EQUITY

- Operating Reserve
- Reserve for Bassett Fund
- Designated for Schooi Activity Funds
-Special Revenue Trust Fund
- Designated for Fund 80 Carryover Non-MSCR
$>$ Sanchez Scholars (Loc B 705)
$>P$ Parent Education (Loc B 852)
>Mann Scholars (Loc B 707)
MSCR
$>$ Community Service Facility (Use 05-06)
$>$ Designated For Levy Reduction
>Designated for Capital Projects
$>$ Designated Reserve
- Designated for:

Carryover Expenditures
Approved Requests
$\sim$ Fines
~Middle Yearbook
$\sim$ Grants and Unused Donations (Fd 10)
$\sim$ Parking Lot Repairs - Memorial
~Maintenance Referendum
~Technology Referendum
~School Formula
~BOE Spring Budget Authorized

| 6/30/2006 | 6/3012007 | 6/3012008 | 6/3012009 | 6/30/2010 | CHANGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18,685,055.02 | 21,732,239.02 | 25,469,483.72 | 37,419,427.22 | 40,310,441.74 | 2,891,014.52 |
| $(225,720.69)$ | ( $219,155.77$ ) | (134, 121.00) | (206,056.49) | (197,282.14) | 8,774.35 |
|  |  |  |  | (29,078.73) | (29,078.73) |
| (1,084,498.84) | (872,772.84) | (1,455,281.05) | $(2,597,296.66)$ | $(1,294,721.68)$ | 1,302,574.98 |
| 17,374,835.49 | 20,640,310.41 | 23,880,081.67 | 34,616,074.07 | 38,789,359.19 | 4,173,285.12 |
| 20,328,016.36 | 20,663,467.04 | 24,922,799.23 | 35,338,811.04 | 40,492,416.48 | 5,153,605,44 |
|  | 195,994.00 | 303,363.28 | 396,848.65 | 505,599.83 | 108,751.18 |
| 1,084,498.84 | 872,772.84 | 1,455,281.05 | 2,597,296,66 | 1,294,721,68 | $(1,302,574,98)$ |
|  |  |  | 686,526.67 | 562,139.59 | (124,387.08) |
|  |  |  |  | 29,078.73 | 29,078.73 |
| 553,750.41 | 1,037,597.19 | 2,199,335.34 | 3,085,518.15 | 1,606,497.28 | $(1,479,020.87)$ |
| 21,966,265.61 | 22,769,831.07 | 28,880,778.90 | 42,105,001.17 | 44,490,453.59 | 2,385,452.42 |
| $\begin{array}{r} (18,685,055.02) \\ (373,778.68) \\ (463,059.35) \end{array}$ | (21,732,239.02) | $(25,469,483.72)$ | (37,419,427.22) | (40,310,441.74) | $(2,891,014.52)$ |
|  | $(392,277.06)$ | $(409,771.03)$ | $(420,222.96)$ | $(426,949.75)$ | (6,726.79) |
|  | (386,555,86) | $(425,121.30)$ | $(419,443.86)$ | $(428,488.54)$ | (9,044.68) |
|  | (195,994.00) | $(303,363.28)$ | (396,848.65) | $(505,599.83)$ | (108,751.18) |
|  |  |  |  |  | - |
| (5,968.00) |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |
| $(6,184.00)$ |  |  |  |  | $\sim$ |
| $(10,966.15)$ |  |  |  |  | - |
| $\begin{array}{r} (75,000.00) \\ (250,000.00) \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | - |
|  | (26,667.00) |  |  |  | - - |
| (205,632.26) | (1,010,930.19) | $(2,199,335.34)$ | (3,085,518,15) | (1,606,497.28) | 1,479,020.87 |
|  |  |  |  |  | - |
| (83,000.00) | (36,331.26) | (5,402.00) | ( $779,135.18$ ) | $(465,825.00)$ | $(286,689.82)$ |
| $(8,176.99)$ | $(9,725,88)$ | (10,758.01) | (12,314.15) | (8,489.70) | 3,824,45 |
| (20,870.61) | $(13,439.25)$ | $(19,129.22)$ | (12,535.00) | $(17,199.75)$ | $(4,664.75)$ |
| $\begin{array}{r} (100,594.55) \\ (20,533.00) \end{array}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  | $(21,813.00)$ | (38,415.00) | (47,683.00) | $(46,709.00)$ | 974.00 |
| $(1,657,447.00)$ | 1,236,616.55 |  |  | (674,253.00) | (674,253.00) |
|  | (180,475,10) |  | (111,873.00) | - | 111,873.00 |
|  |  |  |  | (160,708.78) | $(160,708.78)$ |
|  |  |  |  | $(778,058,87)$ | (778,058.87) |

## Membership

Each spring the district's Research and Evaluation Department projects the district's enrollment through use of both quantitative and qualitative methods. The methodology used can be found in the district's budget book or on the district's website. On the third Friday in September the district then conducts a pupil count in which all students in seats in the district are counted. This number is then adjusted for open enrollment students, non-resident students, tuition waiver students, contract board tuition students, students outside the age eligibility, non-resident incarcerated students, non-resident hospital students, and youth options/apprentice students.

The Spring Amended Preliminary budget projected a total membership of 25,067 . This will be adjusted once numbers are finalized from the third Friday count. Student count determines funding for both the revenue limit and State Equalization Aid.

## Short Term Borrowing

The district has historically used its authority under Wisconsin Statute to temporarily borrow funds to provide cash for current year expenditures in anticipation of property tax revenues received in January. Although we do receive a small portion of the current year's revenue prior to that time, this borrowing allows us to maintain a positive cash balance.

District accounting staff prepare a cash flow schedule, taking into account both anticipated revenue collection and anticipated expenditure payment. This schedule pinpoints the amount necessary for the district to maintain a positive cash balance. For fiscal year 2010-11, the need for $\$ 64,000,000$ was anticipated. As in past years, our projected shortfall reaches its peak just prior to the receipt of January property tax revenues. This schedule is reviewed by our bond counsel, Quarles and Brady, and our financial advisors, Robert W Baird \& Co. to assure compliance with statutory requirements and industry standards.

Temporary borrowing interest cost in 2009-10 was $\$ 1,436,920$. The 2010-11 Spring Amended Preliminary budget for temporary borrowing interest is $\$ 445,571$. The short term borrowing process has now been completed and the total interest cost is $\$ 259,616.85$, for a budget savings of $\$ 185,954$.

## 2010-11 Technical Services Budget

The Technical Services department provides the technical computing and data communications infrastructure required to support the district's instructional mission and business functions. The department is responsible for the replacement and maintenance of the $9,100+$ district desktop computers, LAN/WAN/Server replacement and maintenance, enterprise application management, technical research and development, district wide helpdesk, security for all district technical systems and data, and E-rate application and compliance.

During the 2010-11 spring budget process the Board of Education approved the reduction of the Technical Services budget by $\$ 1,415,077$. Through the use of designated 2009-10 funds carried over and the Microsoft Cy-Pres funding received by the district $\$ 1,046,548$ was able to be reinstated to the Technical Services budget. This work was completed to provide maximum flexibility to the Technical Services division, since Microsoft Cy-Pres cannot be used at all district facilities.

## Tax Levy Impact

During the 2010-11 Spring budget process the Board of Education approved the following tax levies:

2010-11 Property Tax Levy by Fund

| Property Tax lecy: | $200910$ | 2010-11 Amended Preliminary |  |  | Change | \% Chatge |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { General (Funds } 10 \text {, } \\ & 21,23,27, \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | \$ 219,041,466 | \$ | 225,711,479 | \$ | 6,670,013 | 3.05\% |
| Debt Service (Funds $38,39)$ | 65,250 |  | 8,604,255 |  | 8,539,005 | 13086.60\% |
| Capital Projects (Fund 40) | 6,835,765 |  | 3,670,809 |  | $(3,164,956)$ | -46.30\% |
| Community Services (Fund 80) | 8,298,483 |  | 9,749,684 |  | 1,451,201 | 17.49\% |
| Totals | \$234,240,964 | \$ | 247,736,227 | \$ | 13,495,263 | 5.76\% |

The projected tax rate with preliminary property values provided in the amended preliminary budget was $\$ 11.08$. This projected rate for $2010-11$ increased by $8.76 \%$ when compared to the rate approved for 2009-10 school year. The tax rate for the preliminary amended budget also accounted for a projected increase on a $\$ 250,000$ home of $\$ 225.00$.

The attached chart provides a summary of three tax levy scenarios applying the budget assumption updates. These scenarios are Updated Values, Full Aid Reduction and Net Adjustments.

## Updated Values

The Updated Values scenario recalculates the tax rate with the new Equalized Property Value applied as noted in the Equalized Property Value summary. The new tax rate with the updated values changes from $\$ 10.18$ in 2009-10 to $\$ 11.19$ in 2010-11 for an overall increase of $9.87 \%$ or $\$ 252.50$ on a $\$ 250,000$ home.

The property tax levy in this scenario will not be affected.
2010-11 Property Tax Levy by Fund

| Property fax ley | $2119-10$ | 2010.11 Proposed Thuated yalues |  |  | Change | Of Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { General (Funds } 10,21 \text {, } \\ & 23,27, \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | \$219,041,466 | \$ | 225,711,479 | \$ | 6,670,013 | 3.05\% |
| Debt Service (Funds 38,39 ) | 65,250 |  | 8,604,255 |  | 8,539,005 | 13086.60\% |
| Capital Projects (Fund 40) | 6,835,765 |  | 3,670,809 |  | $(3,164,956)$ | -46.30\% |
| Community Services (Fund 80) | 8,298,483 |  | 9,749,684 |  | 1,451,201 | 17.49\% |
| Totals | \$234,240,964 | \$ | 247,736,227 | \$ | 13,495,263 | 5.76\% |

## Full Aid Reduction

The Full Aid Reduction scenario recalculates the tax rate by:

- The utilization of the new Equalized Property Value applied as noted in the Equalized Property Value summary
- Applying the projected Equalization Aid increase of $\$ 1,569,546$ to reduce the General Fund tax levy.

This scenario changes the tax rate from $\$ 10.18$ in 2009-10 to $\$ 11.11$ in 2010-11 for an overall increase of $9.14 \%$ or $\$ 232.50$ on a $\$ 250,000$ home.

2010-11 Property Tax Levy by Fund

| Fropery dax leq | $2009-11$ | 201011 Proposed Fullid Reanction |  |  | Change | \%t change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { General (Funds 10, 21, } \\ & 23,27, \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | \$ 219,041,466 | \$ | 224,067,937 | \$ | 5,026,471 | 2.29\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Debt Service (Funds } \\ & 38,39 \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | 65,250 |  | 8,604,255 |  | 8,539,005 | 13086.60\% |
| Capital Projects (Fund 40) | 6,835,765 |  | 3,670,809 |  | $(3,164,956)$ | -46.30\% |
| Community Services (Fund 80) | 8,298,483 |  | 9,749,684 |  | 1,451,201 | 17.49\% |
| Totals | \$234,240,964 | \$ | 246,092,685 | \$ | 11,851,721 | 5.06\% |

## Net Adjustments

The Net Adjustments scenario recalculates the tax rate by:

- The utilization of the new Equalized Property Value applied as noted in the Equalized Property Value summary,
- Applying the projected Equalization Aid increase of $\$ 1,569,546$ to reduce the General Fund tax levy,
- Increasing the levy to account for the projected decrease of $\$ 442,501$ in Special Education Categorical Aid,
- Applying the budget savings of $\$ 185,954$ realized with the 2010-11 Short Term Borrowing to reduce the General Fund tax levy.
The net adjustment to the General Fund tax levy is $\$ 1,312,999$.
This scenario changes the tax rate from $\$ 10.18$ in 2009-10 to $\$ 11.13$ in 2010-11 for an overall increase of $9.25 \%$ or $\$ 237.50$ on a $\$ 250,000$ home.

2010-11 Property Tax Levy by Fund

| Prepery Tax levy | $2010910$ | 2010 -11 Proposed Net tijustments |  | Change |  | \% Cliange |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { General (Funds 10,21, } \\ & 23,27, \text { ) } \end{aligned}$ | \$ 219,041,466 | \$ | 224,322,015 |  | 5,280,549 | 2.41\% |
| Debt Service (Funds $38,39)$ | 65,250 |  | 8,604,255 |  | 8,539,005 | 13086.60\% |
| Capital Projects (Fund 40) | 6,835,765 |  | 3,670,809 |  | $(3,164,956)$ | -46.30\% |
| Community Services (Fund 80) | 8,298,483 |  | 9,749,684 |  | 1,451,201 | 17.49\% |
| Totals | \$ 234,240,964 | \$ | 246,346,763 | \$ | 12,105,799 | 5.17\% |

## SUMMARY OF FINAL 2009-10 AND PROJECTED 2010-11 TAX LEVY AND TAX RATE

## FUND

General Fund:

- Operational

LESS;
General Fund Levy

- Prior Year Taxes

Net General Fund Levy

- Bobt Service Fund:
- Non-referendum Debt ( 38 )

Net Debt Service Fund Levy
Capital Projects Fund:

- Capital Expansion Fund (41)

Net Capital Projects Fund
Community Services Fund.

- Conmunity Services

Net Community Services
TOTAL TAX LEVY AND RATE


Updated Values
$\underline{2010-11}$
LEVY RAT
Full Aid Reduction
LEVY RO10-11 RATE
Net Adjustments
LEVY

|  | Levy \% Increase | 3.50\% | 11.82\% | 5.76\% | 5.76\% | 5.06\% | 5.17\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rate \% increase | 3.85\% | 14.99\% | 8.76\% | 9.87\% | 9.14\% | 9.25\% |


| Property Tax Bill impact | Adopted <br> 2009-10 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cost-To-Continue } \\ & \underline{2010.11} \end{aligned}$ |  | Amended Preliminary 2011-12 |  | Amended Prollminary 2011-12 |  | Amended Prellminary 2011-12 |  | Amended Preliminary 2011-12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Value | Bill | Value | Bill | Value | Bill | Value | Bill | Value | BIII | Value | Bill |
| Home | 250,000.00 | 2,545.00 | 250,000.00 | 2,927.50 | 250,000.00 | 2,770.00 | 250,000.00 | 2,797.50 | 250,000.00 | 2,777.50 | 250,000,00 | 2,782.50 |
|  | 2 | $5{ }^{525} 5$ |  | 5382:53 |  | S32500 |  |  |  | 532050 |  |  |
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